

Lisa Turner

From: todd guilfoos <todd.guilfoos@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2023 8:24 AM
To: Jesper Christensen; Kellen Ingalls; Marisa Desautel; Main, Robin L.; Jeff Willis; LANNY DELLINGER; Kevin Sloan; David Ciochetto
Subject: Revolution Mitigation

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Jesper,

Thank you for the offer you submitted last week. The FAB has discussed the latest offer and has a number of questions and/or points of clarification that we would like Orsted's input on before responding with another counter.

1. Will Orsted agree to reevaluate the trust balances sometime after the claims process is underway and claims are starting to be paid. This will help to verify the trust balance is sufficient. Thereafter we suggest the fund administrator perform a formal review every couple of years to determine whether the fund balance continues to be enough. If a deficit is discovered, Orsted would need to agree to deposit additional funds to ensure an appropriate fund balance.
2. The FAB is concerned that with so many wind farms over such a large area it will affect some boats differently because of how they are permitted. As a result, there is a risk that some fishers would be forced out completely. What does Orsted propose to ensure that such a permanent loss is reasonably compensated? Is it a matter of simply revising the trust document? Is there a different mechanism available?
3. Abutting wind farm projects like South Fork, Revolution, and Sunrise must coordinate with one another to mitigate cumulative impacts. This could include agreed to turbine spacing among projects, coordinated micrositing of other structures and project components among different projects, agreed to buffers at lease area limits, agreed upon transit lane locations, etc. How would Orsted like to address cumulative impacts and what mitigation measures are available?
4. The FAB believes a year to year schedule of set % of losses similar to what is set forth by the BOEM Mitigation Guidance is appropriate for this project. Will Orsted agree to discuss such a schedule?
5. Can Orsted provide a presentation of where the boulders and bottom changes will likely occur based on the survey work and layout of the inter array cables and turbines (laid out on a map)? This will be helpful in knowing where impacts will occur due to bottom changes.

Todd