Ocean SAMP Offshore Renewable Energy

University of Rhode Island Narragansett, RI 02882

ATM(2007) Potential Wind Farm Sites



Figure 1 - RIWINDS Report Figure 3.20: Map Showing Post Level 2 Screening Areas Separated by Wind Speed and Final Area Designation

70 m winds







AWS Truewind, LCC

50 m power density





AWS Truewind AVV5 ILLEWING Projector: Transverse Mercalor, UTM Zone 19W W0884 Baala Method of Wind Resource Date 200m The mesource Date 200m the MesoNap system and historical weather data. Although its believed to represent an accurate overal picture of the wind energy resource. estimates at any location should be confirmed by The transmission line Information was obtained by Wind Traveninform the Global Energy Decisions

AWS Truewind from the Global Energy Decisions Velocity Suite. AWS does not warrant the accuracy of the transmission line information.

AWS Truewind, LCC

MMS Use Summary



Major Fishing Areas (URI, EDC)



Initial Concerns with Some Sites



Figure 1 - RIWINDS Report Pigure 5.20. map Snowing Post Level 2 Screening Areas Separated by Wind Speed and Final Area Designation

Ocean SAMP Study Area



Study Area Selection

- Include all state and contiguous federal waters
- Include sites for alternative tradeoff analysis required for permitting process
- Consistent with Army Corp Dredged Material Disposal Assessment

Phased Study

Phase I - Ocean SAMP and associated supporting studies
Phase II- Detailed investigations (meteorological and oceanographic observations, high resolution bottom, subbottom, archeological, and fish habit mapping)

Study Design

- Follow state (CRMC) and MMS regulatory framework for Offshore Alternative Energy Development and Production
- Maximize the use of existing data and access to local expertise (data)
- Minimize cost by contracting with state entity
- Perform supporting studies to fill data and information gaps, only as absolutely required
- Build on *Lessons Learned* from siting of offshore wind farms
- Collect sufficient data to allow Ocean SAMP to be developed and defended

Study Schedule

Final site selection study
Selection of met. tower site
Floating zone tool
(Developers identified, EIS initiated)
Kick-off of Phase II, Detailed Investigations (meteorological tower**) (optional) 13 months
Completion of Ocean SAMP
24 months

* Time from project initiation
** Meteorological observations typically required for 2 (3?) yrs

Phase I - Ocean SAMP

• Development of Plan and Stakeholder Involvement Legal analysis **Commercial and recreational fisheries** (Biological oceanography) Visualization **Technical and advisory council Outreach and communications**

Major Supporting Studies PHASE I Ocean SAMP

 Refinement of Site Selection Wind, wave, and surge analysis Marine transportation (AIS)

- Wind Farm Technology Assessment
- Geology (surficial and sub-bottom), sea bed mapping
- Physical oceanography

US Army Corp Wave Information Study Hindcast Locations





Extreme Wave Heights and Periods (1/100yr)



Figure 1 - RIWINDS Report Figure 3.20: Map Showing Post Level 2 Screening Areas Separated by Wind Speed and Final Area Designation

NOAA ENC Bathymetry -Wave Prediction 1/100yr)



AIS Tracks Oct 31 to Nov 26, 2007 (ASA)



Phase I - Ocean SAMP Supporting Studies

- Meteorology and air quality
- Noise and electromagnetic
- Sea and shore birds
- Marine mammals and turtles
- Cultural and archeological resources

Phase II Detailed Investigations

High resolution bottom and sub-bottom mapping
Ocean observations: winds, currents, and waves



Meteorological and Ocean Observation Tower

Meet immediate need for wind farm siting

 Long term plan operated by URI as part of the federal initiative on Integrated Ocean Observing System(IOOS) (Regional Associations, Northeast and Mid Atlantic Bight)

Leverage re-location of GoMOOS buoy (Coordination with NOAA Surveying Vessel)



Northeastern Regional Coastal Ocean Observing System

GoMOOS Buoy Relocated to RI



Ocean and Meteorological Observation Tower (Tentative site)



Availability of Supporting Environmental Data

- Winds none in RI coastal waters
- Waves and currents none in RI coastal waters
- Geological parameters limited, sampling too coarse
- Birds only coastal observations (Sachuest and Napatree Points), none offshore
- Marine Mammals limited data, needs to synthesized
- Marine Archeological Sites few observations

NOAA Offshore Observation Buoys



USGS Sediment Sample and sidescan survey locations



USGS Sub-bottom survey locations







Cost Summary - Phase I

Phase I Major Study Elements Phase I Ocean SAMP Pofinement of Siting Study

- Refinement of Siting Study
- Sea Bed Mapping
- Birds
- Other Supporting Studies

TOTAL COST -\$4 M * Percent of total cost 51.7 %* 8.5 % 13 % 17.1 % 9.7 %

Cost Summary - Phase II

Phase II Detailed Site Investigations

- Meteorological and oceanographic 77 % observations (Met tower)
- Sea bed mapping

23 %

TOTAL COST - \$2.6 M

Sources of Renewable Ocean Energy in Rhode Island

Malcolm L. Spaulding Professor, Ocean Engineering Director, Center of Excellence in Undersea Technology University of Rhode Island Narragansett, RI 02882

Briefing to: Coastal Resources Management Council March 11, 2008

Sources of Offshore Renewable Energy Ocean Thermal Energy Conversion(OTEC) Offshore winds • Waves Ocean currents In stream and head (impoundment) based tidal – Mean current (e.g. Gulf Stream, river flow)

Key Issues with Renewables

- Low energy density, many power units distributed over large areas,
- Intermittent power production (1/3 time for wind and waves)
- Connection grid
- Resource use conflicts
- Environmental impacts

ATM (2007) Offshore Wind Sites



Figure 1 - RIWINDS Report Figure 3.20: Map Showing Post Level 2 Screening Areas Separated by Wind Speed and Final Area Designation

Summary RI Power **Production Potential** • Offshore Energy Production Potential (MW) **OTEC** none In stream tidal currents 0.01 Waves 0.408 - 40.8Winds (all sites) 685 $1\overline{50} - 220$ Selected short listed sites • Renewable Energy Goal 150

Cost Summary

Cost of power production per MWhr

 Winds
 \$96 to 137

 Waves*
 \$630

* Only power generator capital costs; estimated 2.5 times if all project capitals costs

OFFSHORE WINDS!

In Depth Presentation

Ocean Thermal Energy Conversion (OTEC)

Ocean Thermal Energy Conversion

- Requires deep water, source of cold (deep) water and warm surface water
- No deep water sites exist in RI or southern New England shelf

NO POTENTIAL FOR RI

OCEAN CURRENTS











Ocean Currents

- No strong mean currents in RI or adjacent waters
- Tidal range in RI waters is modest (1 m) so no possibility for impoundments
- In stream tidal currents typically require current speeds greater than 1.5 to 2 m/sec (3 to 4 kt) to generate power (Turbine cut in speeds – 0.5 m/sec or 1kt)

In Stream Tidal Currents

- Two potential sites: Sakonnet River Bridge and Warren River (Rte 114 Bridge)
- Sakonnet River not viable, peak current about 1.3 m/sec, no significant power generation potential
- Warren River site limited local potential



Maximum currents 2.5 m/sec (5 kt) One turbine (1 m diameter, 2.5 m long)

1 kW or 8.7 MWh Full build out 10 turbines 10 kW or 87 MWh



Warren River Bridge Site



Nearby Sites for In-Stream Tidal Current Power



WAVE ENERGY



Worldwide wave energy http://www.poemsinc.org/FAQwave.html



RI Wave Energy Setting

- Low wave energy density, short fetch distances, and wind blows primarily from west
- Largest (most) waves from south and southwest

 Rhode and Block Island Sound waves Mean Wave period – 4.5 sec Mean amplitude – 1.04 m





Annual Average Wave Energy Flux

Offshore exposed = 25 kW/ m

Nearshore exposed = 15 kW/m

Nearshore sheltered by Nova Scotia or by Cape Cod and the Nantucket Shoals ~ 10 kW/m

Nearshore Wave Energy Development Index

Newport, RI to due south of Nantucket Island = 1.8 to 2.5

West of Newport, RI ~ 2

North of Nantucket Shoals = 1





Figure 5. Geographic distribution of mean incident wave energy flux and wave energy development index off southern New England.

RI Coastal Wave Energy Estimate

 Estimate of power production potential
 5.7 kW/m Annual avg wave power per m of wave front off Block Island
 3.4 kW/m Annual avg wave power for waves greater than 5 sec, 0.5 m height from southern sector(max wave exposure) (produce power)

Wave Power Estimate RI

1.36 kW/m Annual avg wave power after adjustment for operational efficiency (40%) 40.8 kW for typical unit (30 m;100 ft) wide 357 MWhr per year per unit (52 households) (*6909 kWhr per household) **OPERATES ONLY 37% OF THE TIME SIMILAR TO WIND TURBINES**

Wave Power Estimate RI

- Typical Wave Farm in RI 10 plants
 408 kW(0.408 MW) or 3.570 BWhr
- RI Project, 15 MW Generation Capacity, (6 MW actual generation), 10 units, Total capital cost -\$45 M, 1.5 MW per unit, 20 yr life span (52.560 BWhrs per yr)

Cost* = \$42.80 per MWhr (**Est -\$107 per MWHr)

 Estimated cost using RI wave data Cost * = \$630 per MWhr (**Est. \$1575 per MWhr) Difference ---- 15

 *Considering facilities costs only ** Total capital cost = 2.5 x facility cost

OFFSHORE WINDS



Wind Resource in the Northeast



ATM (2007) Offshore Wind Sites



Figure 1 - RIWINDS Report Figure 3.20: Map Showing Post Level 2 Screening Areas Separated by Wind Speed and Final Area Designation

ATM(2007) Potential Energy Estimates

Potential Energy Estimates



Figure 2 - RIWINDS Estimated Energy Generation for Each of the Identified Offshore Areas

ATM(2007) cost summary



Figure 3 - RIWINDS Report Figure 6.2: Estimated Levelized Cost of Energy Compared to Levelized Wholesale Electricity Price Forecasts

Wind Energy Summary

All offshore areas 685 MW Sub-areas J, K - 220 MW E, H - 140 MW H, K - 187 MW Renewable Energy Goal 150 MW Cost Range - \$96 to 137 MWhr

Summary Power Production Potential

• Offshore Energy Production Potential (MW) **OTEC** none In stream tidal currents 0.01 Waves 0.408 - 40.8Winds (all sites) 685 $1\overline{50} - 220$ Selected short listed sites • Renewable Energy Goal 150

Cost Summary

Cost of power production per MWhr

 Winds
 \$96 to 137

 Waves*
 \$630

* Only capital costs for generator

OFFSHORE WINDS!



