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Coastal Zone Management Consistency Statements

The federal Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA) of 1972 encourages coastal states to be active in managing natural resources. The CZMA is a voluntary program for states. If a state chooses to participate in the CZMA program, it develops a coastal management program (CMP) pursuant to federal law. Under the federal consistency provision of the CZMA, in general, federal actions that may have reasonably foreseeable effects on the uses or resources of a state’s coastal zone must be consistent with the enforceable policies of the state’s federally approved CMP. The CZMA requires that non-federal applicants for federal licenses or permits submit a consistency certification to the state that declares that the proposed activity complies with the enforceable polices of the state’s approved management program and will be conducted in a manner consistent with such program.

In accordance with the “federal consistency” requirement of the CZMA (16 USC 1456), as well as 15 CFR Part 930, the federal actions associated with the Revolution Wind Farm (RWF) and Revolution Wind Export Cable (RWEC) (collectively the Project or proposed activity) include approval of the Construction and Operations Plan (COP) by BOEM (15 CFR part 930, subpart E) and issuance of an Individual Permit by United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), under Section 10 and 14 of the Rivers and Harbors Act and Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (15 CFR part 930, subpart E). Based on pre-application discussions, DWW Rev I, LLC (DWW Rev I) expects that Rhode Island and Massachusetts will review the Project for consistency with their state’s enforceable policies.

This appendix provides summary tables listing each of the enforceable policies for the Rhode Island CRMP and the Massachusetts CZMP. The summary tables present descriptions of how the RWF and the RWEC will be consistent with each applicable policy and provide a cross reference to specific sections of the COP where the applicable policy is addressed. Key details for each state are described below.

Rhode Island Coastal Resources Management Program

The Rhode Island Coastal Resources Management Council (CRMC) received its federal program (CRMP) approval under the CZMA in 1978. Included in the CRMP is the Rhode Island Ocean Special Area Management Plan (Ocean SAMP), which CRMC approved in 2010 and which the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) approved in 2011. The Ocean SAMP contains requirements for activities in state waters and enforceable policies for certain federal agency activities, licenses and permits in certain federal offshore waters.

A consistency certification is required for listed activities on the State’s approved federal consistency list that are located in two areas of federal waters designated as geographic location description (GLD) 2011 and GLD 2018. For the GLD 2018, CRMC requested expanded federal consistency review authority of certain federal license or permit activities, namely offshore wind facilities and submarine cables within a portion of the Massachusetts Wind Energy Area (WEA) and certain federal waters. In December 2018, NOAA approved CRMC’s requests including the expanded GLD (GLD 2018) and the modified federal consistency list.

The RWF and the RWEC are located in the area defined by the GLDs and the Project is a listed activity on the State’s approved federal consistency list. DWW Rev I has prepared a consistency certification that reviews the Project for consistency with the enforceable policies set forth in Section 11 of the Ocean
SAMP, see Appendix B-1. The Project complies with the enforceable policies of the Rhode Island approved management program and will be conducted in a manner consistent with such program.

Massachusetts Coastal Zone Management Program

The Massachusetts Coastal Zone Management Plan, which NOAA approved in 1978, is administered by the Massachusetts Office of Coastal Zone Management within the Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs. The *Massachusetts Office of Coastal Zone Management Policy Guide - October 2011* (Policy Guide) contains the official program policies and references to the legal authorities of the CMP, including the federal consistency review process. DWW Rev I has voluntarily prepared a consistency certification that reviews the Project for consistency with the enforceable policies of the Massachusetts CZMP, see Appendix B-2. The Project complies with the enforceable policies of the Massachusetts approved management program and will be conducted in a manner consistent with such program.
Rhode Island Ocean Special Area Management Plan (Ocean SAMP) Consistency Review

11.10.1(A)

This section contains all the regulatory standards outlined by the Ocean SAMP. The regulatory standards have been organized according to the following stages: application; design, fabrication and installation; pre-construction; construction; and post-construction. The criteria in this section are contained in Title 15, Chapter 930 of the Code of Federal Regulations (C.F.R.) and 36 C.F.R. § 930.58(a)(2). The criteria in this section are intended to ensure that proposed ocean projects are consistent with the policies and standards outlined in Sections 1150 and 1160 of this part (except, as noted above, Section 1150 policies shall not be used for CRMC concurrence or objection to Ocean SAMP Federal Consistency reviews). For the purpose of the Ocean SAMP, Offshore Developments are defined as Large-scale Offshore Developments, as defined in Title 15, Chapter 930 of the Code of Federal Regulations (C.F.R.) and 36 C.F.R. § 930.58(a)(2).

11.10.1(B)

Large-scale projects, such as:

11.10.1(B)(1) Offshore, and facilities located within a 1/4 mile of each other, or 18 MW power generation;
11.10.1(B)(2) wave generation devices (2 or more devices, or 18 MW power generation);
11.10.1(B)(3) Artificial reefs (1/2 acre footprint and at least 4 feet high), except for projects of a public nature whose bona fide educational, scientific or recreational uses are determined by the Council staff prior to the submission of a federal application, lease, license, or authorization. However, for federal coastal zone projects, the Council shall review only those projects located in state coastal waters, and will remain in compliance with federal policies as well as with Rhode Island Coastal Zone Management policies.
11.10.1(C)

In assessing the natural resources and existing human uses present in state waters of the Ocean SAMP area, the Council shall give consideration to any previously approved, or currently approved, ocean projects located in federal waters, and shall coordinate with other federal, state, local, and international agencies and other state, local, and international agencies, as appropriate, and with Rhode Island Coastal Zone Management policies in order to ensure that the CZMA process is not delayed.

11.10.1(D)

This section contains all the regulatory standards outlined by the Ocean SAMP. The regulatory standards have been organized according to the following stages: application; design, fabrication and installation; pre-construction; construction; and post-construction. The criteria in this section are contained in Title 15, Chapter 930 of the Code of Federal Regulations (C.F.R.) and 36 C.F.R. § 930.58(a)(2). The criteria in this section are intended to ensure that proposed ocean projects are consistent with the policies and standards outlined in Sections 1150 and 1160 of this part (except, as noted above, Section 1150 policies shall not be used for CRMC concurrence or objection to Ocean SAMP Federal Consistency reviews). For the purpose of the Ocean SAMP, Offshore Developments are defined as Large-scale Offshore Developments, as defined in Title 15, Chapter 930 of the Code of Federal Regulations (C.F.R.) and 36 C.F.R. § 930.58(a)(2).

11.10.1(E)

Large-scale projects, such as:

11.10.1(E)(1) Offshore, and facilities located in a GLD, and is an underwater structure.
11.10.1(E)(2) Offshore, and facilities located in a GLD, and is an underwater structure.
11.10.1(E)(3) Offshore, and facilities located in a GLD, and is an underwater structure.
11.10.1(E)(4) Offshore, and facilities located in a GLD, and is an underwater structure.
11.10.1(E)(5) Offshore, and facilities located in a GLD, and is an underwater structure.
11.10.1(E)(6) Offshore, and facilities located in a GLD, and is an underwater structure.
11.10.1(E)(7) Offshore, and facilities located in a GLD, and is an underwater structure.
11.10.1(E)(8) Offshore, and facilities located in a GLD, and is an underwater structure.
11.10.1(E)(9) Offshore, and facilities located in a GLD, and is an underwater structure.
11.10.1(E)(10) Offshore, and facilities located in a GLD, and is an underwater structure.

11.10.1(F)

The RWEC will be consistent with this policy. Meetings have been held with CRMC and DWW Rev I, LLC to discuss potential fisheries-related impacts from the RWEC.

11.10.1(G)

The RWF will be consistent with this policy. Meetings have been held with CRMC and DWW Rev I, LLC to schedule a pre-application meeting with the FAB to discuss potential fisheries-related impacts from the RWF.

11.10.1(H)

The RWF will be consistent with this policy. Meetings have been held with CRMC and DWW Rev I, LLC to schedule a pre-application meeting with the FAB to discuss potential fisheries-related impacts from the RWF.

11.10.1(I)

The RWF will be consistent with this policy. Meetings have been held with CRMC and DWW Rev I, LLC to schedule a pre-application meeting with the FAB to discuss potential fisheries-related impacts from the RWF.

11.10.1(J)

The RWF will be consistent with this policy. Meetings have been held with CRMC and DWW Rev I, LLC to schedule a pre-application meeting with the FAB to discuss potential fisheries-related impacts from the RWF.

11.10.1(K)

The RWF will be consistent with this policy. Meetings have been held with CRMC and DWW Rev I, LLC to schedule a pre-application meeting with the FAB to discuss potential fisheries-related impacts from the RWF.

11.10.1(L)

The RWF will be consistent with this policy. Meetings have been held with CRMC and DWW Rev I, LLC to schedule a pre-application meeting with the FAB to discuss potential fisheries-related impacts from the RWF.

11.10.1(M)

The RWF will be consistent with this policy. Meetings have been held with CRMC and DWW Rev I, LLC to schedule a pre-application meeting with the FAB to discuss potential fisheries-related impacts from the RWF.

11.10.1(N)

The RWF will be consistent with this policy. Meetings have been held with CRMC and DWW Rev I, LLC to schedule a pre-application meeting with the FAB to discuss potential fisheries-related impacts from the RWF.

11.10.1(O)

The RWEC will be consistent with this policy. Meetings have been held with CRMC and DWW Rev I, LLC to schedule a pre-application meeting with the FAB to discuss potential fisheries-related impacts from the RWEC.

11.10.1(P)

The RWEC will be consistent with this policy. Meetings have been held with CRMC and DWW Rev I, LLC to schedule a pre-application meeting with the FAB to discuss potential fisheries-related impacts from the RWEC.

11.10.1(Q)

The RWEC will be consistent with this policy. Meetings have been held with CRMC and DWW Rev I, LLC to schedule a pre-application meeting with the FAB to discuss potential fisheries-related impacts from the RWEC.

11.10.1(R)

The RWEC will be consistent with this policy. Meetings have been held with CRMC and DWW Rev I, LLC to schedule a pre-application meeting with the FAB to discuss potential fisheries-related impacts from the RWEC.

11.10.1(S)

The RWEC will be consistent with this policy. Meetings have been held with CRMC and DWW Rev I, LLC to schedule a pre-application meeting with the FAB to discuss potential fisheries-related impacts from the RWEC.

11.10.1(T)

The RWEC will be consistent with this policy. Meetings have been held with CRMC and DWW Rev I, LLC to schedule a pre-application meeting with the FAB to discuss potential fisheries-related impacts from the RWEC.

11.10.1(U)

The RWEC will be consistent with this policy. Meetings have been held with CRMC and DWW Rev I, LLC to schedule a pre-application meeting with the FAB to discuss potential fisheries-related impacts from the RWEC.

11.10.1(V)

The RWEC will be consistent with this policy. Meetings have been held with CRMC and DWW Rev I, LLC to schedule a pre-application meeting with the FAB to discuss potential fisheries-related impacts from the RWEC.

11.10.1(W)

The RWEC will be consistent with this policy. Meetings have been held with CRMC and DWW Rev I, LLC to schedule a pre-application meeting with the FAB to discuss potential fisheries-related impacts from the RWEC.

11.10.1(X)

The RWF will be consistent with this policy. Meetings have been held with CRMC and DWW Rev I, LLC to schedule a pre-application meeting with the FAB to discuss potential fisheries-related impacts from the RWF.

11.10.1(Y)

The RWF will be consistent with this policy. Meetings have been held with CRMC and DWW Rev I, LLC to schedule a pre-application meeting with the FAB to discuss potential fisheries-related impacts from the RWF.

11.10.1(Z)

The RWF will be consistent with this policy. Meetings have been held with CRMC and DWW Rev I, LLC to schedule a pre-application meeting with the FAB to discuss potential fisheries-related impacts from the RWF.

11.10.1(AA)

The RWF will be consistent with this policy. Meetings have been held with CRMC and DWW Rev I, LLC to schedule a pre-application meeting with the FAB to discuss potential fisheries-related impacts from the RWF.
The RWF is consistent with this policy. BMWN has concluded an assessment of commercial and recreational fisheries within the region, which encompasses the RWEC. The RWF is not expected to have major long-term impacts on commercial or recreational fisheries.

The RWEC is consistent with this policy. DWW Rev I has conducted an assessment of commercial and recreational fisheries within the region, which encompasses the RWEC. The RWF is not expected to have major long-term impacts on commercial or recreational fisheries and DWW Rev I is committed to collaborative science with the commercial and recreational fishing industries pre- and post-construction.

The RWEC is consistent with this policy. BMWN has concluded an assessment of commercial and recreational fisheries within the region, which encompasses the RWEC. The RWF is not expected to have major long-term impacts on commercial or recreational fisheries and DWW Rev I is committed to collaborative science with the commercial and recreational fishing industries pre- and post-construction.

The RWF is consistent with this policy. BMWN has concluded an assessment of commercial and recreational fisheries within the region, which encompasses the RWEC. The RWF is not expected to have major long-term impacts on commercial or recreational fisheries and DWW Rev I is committed to collaborative science with the commercial and recreational fishing industries pre- and post-construction.

The RWEC is consistent with this policy. BMWN has concluded an assessment of commercial and recreational fisheries within the region, which encompasses the RWEC. The RWF is not expected to have major long-term impacts on commercial or recreational fisheries and DWW Rev I is committed to collaborative science with the commercial and recreational fishing industries pre- and post-construction.

The RWF is consistent with this policy. BMWN has concluded an assessment of commercial and recreational fisheries within the region, which encompasses the RWEC. The RWF is not expected to have major long-term impacts on commercial or recreational fisheries and DWW Rev I is committed to collaborative science with the commercial and recreational fishing industries pre- and post-construction.

The RWF is consistent with this policy. BMWN has concluded an assessment of commercial and recreational fisheries within the region, which encompasses the RWEC. The RWF is not expected to have major long-term impacts on commercial or recreational fisheries and DWW Rev I is committed to collaborative science with the commercial and recreational fishing industries pre- and post-construction.

The RWF is consistent with this policy. BMWN has concluded an assessment of commercial and recreational fisheries within the region, which encompasses the RWEC. The RWF is not expected to have major long-term impacts on commercial or recreational fisheries and DWW Rev I is committed to collaborative science with the commercial and recreational fishing industries pre- and post-construction.

The RWF is consistent with this policy. BMWN has concluded an assessment of commercial and recreational fisheries within the region, which encompasses the RWEC. The RWF is not expected to have major long-term impacts on commercial or recreational fisheries and DWW Rev I is committed to collaborative science with the commercial and recreational fishing industries pre- and post-construction.

The RWF is consistent with this policy. BMWN has concluded an assessment of commercial and recreational fisheries within the region, which encompasses the RWEC. The RWF is not expected to have major long-term impacts on commercial or recreational fisheries and DWW Rev I is committed to collaborative science with the commercial and recreational fishing industries pre- and post-construction.
A Visual Impact Assessment may require the development of detailed visual simulations illustrating the completed project.

- Areas of substantial recreational value;
- Areas with unique or fragile physical features, or important natural habitats;
- The potential non-physical impacts of a proposed project on cultural and historic resources shall be evaluated.

Response to Policy for RWEC
The RWEC is consistent with this policy. The Marine Archaeology Assessment was conducted in accordance with their guidelines.

Response to Policy for RWF
The RWF is consistent with this policy. Visual Impact Assessments were performed for the project.

Note: a Final Marine Archaeological Resources Assessment will be submitted as a supplemental filing to the COP.
Policy/Requirement

Other Areas of Particular Concern may be identified during the pre-application review by state and federal agencies.

Glacial moraines are important habitat areas for a diversity of fish and other marine plants and animals because they create environments that allow for habitat diversity and complexity, which allows for species diversity in these areas and provide a mitigation plan that protects the ecosystem. The Council will permit underwater cables, only in certain areas designated for preservation.

Preservation. Underwater cables are exempt from this prohibition. Areas designated for preservation include:

- The Council shall prohibit any Large-Scale Offshore Development, mining and extraction of minerals, or other activities in Areas of Particular Concern that have been identified in the Ocean SAMP area in state waters.

Infrastructure section 720.7, are designated as Areas of Particular Concern. All large-scale, small-scale, or other offshore development, or any portion of a proposed project, must be submitted as a supplemental filing to this COP Section Reference.

Not applicable

Not applicable

Not applicable

Not applicable

Not applicable

Not applicable
A SAP for Lease Area OCS-A, 066 was approved by BOEM in October 2017. The CRMC issued concurrence for file #2017-09-034 on September 8, 2017.

A SAP for Lease Area OCS-A, 066 was approved by BOEM in October 2017. The CRMC issued concurrence for file #2017-09-034 on September 8, 2017.
11.10.5(C)(8) The applicant shall submit a SAP that describes those resources, conditions, and activities listed in Table 5.

Table 5: Resource data and uses that shall be described in the site assessment plan

- Geotechnical
- Biological resources
- Threatened or endangered species
- Archaeological resources
- Visual resources
- Water quality
- Sensitive biological resources or habitats
- Natural or cultural resource
- Storm and runoff management
- Other activities in federal waters

11.10.5(C)(9) The COP for the RWF was submitted to BOEM in March 2020 and is under review.

11.10.5(C)(10) The COP for the RWEC was submitted to BOEM in March 2020 and is under review.

11.10.5(C)(11) The Ocean SAMP was submitted to BOEM in March 2020 and is under review.

11.10.5(C)(12) The COP for the RWF was submitted to BOEM in March 2020 and is under review.

11.10.5(C)(13) A list of agencies or persons with whom the applicant has communicated, or will communicate, regarding potential impacts associated with the proposed activities. Contact information and issues discussed.

11.10.5(C)(14) Table 4: Necessary data and information to be provided in the site assessment plan

- Historical information
- Geotechnical
- Biological resources
- Threatened or endangered species
- Archaeological resources
- Visual resources
- Water quality
- Sensitive biological resources or habitats
- Natural or cultural resource
- Storm and runoff management
- Other activities in federal waters

11.10.5(C)(15) The Council cannot require a pre-application meeting for federal permit applications, but the Council strongly encourages applicants for any Large-Scale Offshore Development, as defined in Section 11.10.5(C)(1), to meet with the FAB and the Council staff prior to the submission of the federal application. The Council staff may request that the applicant provide data and information that is missing, the CRMC may only delay the CZMA six-month federal consistency review period in accordance with NOAA's regulations at 15 C.F.R. §§ 930.60(a) and 930.77(c) (1).

11.10.5(C)(16) The Council shall review the applicant's SAP in conjunction with the Joint Agency Working Group to determine if it contains the information necessary to conduct technical and environmental reviews and shall notify the applicant of the SAP if any necessary information is not included. If the Council determines that necessary information is not included, the applicant shall provide the necessary information within 90 days from the date of notice. If necessary information is not included within 90 days, the CRMC may only delay the CZMA six-month federal consistency review period in accordance with NOAA's regulations at 15 C.F.R. §§ 930.60(a) and 930.77(c) (1).

11.10.5(C)(17) The Council shall review the applicant's SAP in conjunction with the Joint Agency Working Group to determine if it contains the information necessary to conduct technical and environmental reviews and shall notify the applicant of the SAP if any necessary information is not included. If the Council determines that necessary information is not included, the applicant shall provide the necessary information within 90 days from the date of notice. If necessary information is not included within 90 days, the CRMC may only delay the CZMA six-month federal consistency review period in accordance with NOAA's regulations at 15 C.F.R. §§ 930.60(a) and 930.77(c) (1).

11.10.5(C)(18) The COP for the RWF was submitted to BOEM in March 2020 and is under review.

A SAP for Lease Area OCS - A - 0486 was approved by BOEM in October 2017. The CRMC issued concurrence for file #2017-09-034 on September 8, 2017.

11.10.5(C)(19) A SAP for Lease Area OCS - A - 0486 was approved by BOEM in October 2017. The CRMC issued concurrence for file #2017-09-034 on September 8, 2017.

11.10.5(C)(20) The applicant's COP shall describe all proposed construction activities, including methodologies.
11.10.5(C)(2)(g) The applicant's COP shall describe those resources, conditions, and activities listed in Table 6 that could communicate, regarding potential impacts associated with the proposed activities. Table 7: Necessary data and information to be provided in the Construction and Operations Plan

- 11.10.5(C)(2)(g)(1) Historical resources
- 11.10.5(C)(2)(g)(2) Biological resources

11.10.5(C)(2)(g)(3) A list of on-site and local resources generated.

11.10.5(C)(2)(g)(4) A list of chemical products used (if stored volume exceeds Environmental Protection (EPA) Regulatable Quantities).

11.10.5(C)(2)(g)(5) Air quality information.

11.10.5(C)(2)(g)(6) Overall site investigation.

11.10.5(C)(2)(g)(7) Other information.

11.10.5(C)(2)(f) (i) A description of the deployment activities.

11.10.5(C)(2)(f)(1) A description of the project, including site and design.

11.10.5(C)(2)(f)(2) General structural and project design, fabrication, and installation.


11.10.5(C)(2)(f)(4) A description of the proposed activities.


11.10.5(C)(2)(f)(6) A description of the deployment activities.

11.10.5(C)(2)(f)(7) A list of all Federal, State, and local authorizations, approvals, or permits that are required to conduct the proposed activities, including commercial operations.

11.10.5(C)(2)(f)(8) The applicant's proposed measures for avoiding, minimizing, reducing, eliminating, and monitoring environmental impacts.

11.10.5(C)(2)(f)(9) Information for the applicant to incorporate by reference.

11.10.5(C)(2)(f)(10) The applicant's facility or facilities:

Table 7: Necessary data and information to be provided in the Construction and Operations Plan

- 11.10.5(C)(2)(e)(3) Designation of operator, if applicable.

11.10.5(C)(2)(e)(5) Information for the applicant to incorporate by reference.


11.10.5(C)(2)(e)(7) Civil evaluations.


11.10.5(C)(2)(e)(9) Environmental protection measures.


11.10.5(C)(2)(e)(12) Other information.


11.10.5(C)(2)(e)(14) Air emissions and controls.


11.10.5(C)(2)(e)(17) Decommissioning and site clearance procedures.


11.10.5(C)(2)(e)(20) Decommissioning and site clearance procedures.


11.10.5(C)(2)(e)(22) Decommissioning and site clearance procedures.


11.10.5(C)(2)(e)(26) Decommissioning and site clearance procedures.


11.10.5(C)(2)(e)(30) Decommissioning and site clearance procedures.


11.10.5(C)(2)(e)(34) Decommissioning and site clearance procedures.


11.10.5(C)(2)(e)(36) Decommissioning and site clearance procedures.


11.10.5(C)(2)(e)(38) Decommissioning and site clearance procedures.


11.10.5(C)(2)(e)(40) Decommissioning and site clearance procedures.


11.10.5(C)(2)(e)(42) Decommissioning and site clearance procedures.


11.10.5(C)(2)(e)(44) Decommissioning and site clearance procedures.

The COP for the RWF was submitted to BOEM in March 2020 and is under review. Note: an Integrated Marine Site Investigation Report will be submitted as a supplemental filing to this COP.

11.10.5(C)(2)(f) The applicant's COP shall provide the following information and surveys for the proposed site(s) of the applicant's facility or facilities:

Table 6: Contents of the Construction and Operations Plan

- 11.10.5(C)(2)(f)(8) A list of chemical products used (if stored volume exceeds Environmental Protection (EPA) Regulatable Quantities).
- 11.10.5(C)(2)(f)(10) The applicant's facility or facilities:
- 11.10.5(C)(2)(f)(22) Other information.
- 11.10.5(C)(2)(f)(34) Other information.
- 11.10.5(C)(2)(f)(40) Other information.

Note: an Integrated Marine Site Investigation Report will be submitted as a supplemental filing to this COP.
### Policy Requirement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Policy/Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.10.C(2)(g)(5)</td>
<td>(c) Water quality and circulation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.C(2)(g)(6)</td>
<td>(d) Biological resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.C(2)(g)(10)</td>
<td>(g) Threatened or endangered species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.C(2)(g)(12)</td>
<td>(i) Sensitive biological resources and habitats.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.C(2)(g)(13)</td>
<td>(k) Paleontological Resources and Uses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.C(2)(g)(15)</td>
<td>(m) Cultural Resources and Uses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.C(2)(g)(16)</td>
<td>(n) Coastal and marine uses.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Policy Requirement Details

- **Biological Resources:**
  - Section 4.3, Biological Resources; Section 4.3.2, Benthic and Shellfish Resources; Section 4.3.3, Finfish and Essential Fish Habitat, Appendix I, Essential Fish Habitat Assessment; Appendix G, Hydrodynamic and Sediment Transport Modeling Reports
  - The applicant shall develop a plan to address the biological resources identified in the applicant's Facility Design Report. The plan shall include a description of the activities that will be performed to address the identified risks.

- **Cultural Resources and Uses:**
  - Section 4.3, Biological Resources; Section 4.4.3, Historic and Essential Fish Habitat; Appendix G, Benthic Assessment; Appendix I, Historic Archaeological Resources Assessment
  - The applicant shall provide a description of any cultural resources that may be affected by the project and the measures that will be taken to mitigate any adverse effects.

- **Archaeological Resources:**
  - Section 6.2, Cultural and Recreational Fishing; Section 4.4.3, Historic and Essential Fish Habitat; Appendix G.1, Historic Resources Visual Effects Analysis
  - The applicant shall include a description of any archaeological resources that may be affected by the project and the measures that will be taken to mitigate any adverse effects.

- **Cultural Resources and Uses:**
  - Section 6.4, Cultural and Recreational Fishing; Section 4.4.3, Historic and Essential Fish Habitat; Appendix G.1, Historic Resources Visual Effects Analysis
  - The applicant shall include a description of any cultural resources that may be affected by the project and the measures that will be taken to mitigate any adverse effects.

### COP Section Reference

- **Appendix A, Summary of Potential Impacts and Proposed Environmental Protection Measures:**
  - Section 4.6, Socioeconomic Resources; Section 4.6.7, Coastal Land Use and Infrastructure; Section 4.6.8, Other Marine Uses; and Appendix G, Coastal Zone Management Consistency Statements: Rhode Island
  - The applicant shall provide a comprehensive description of the potential impacts of the project on the socioeconomic resources and infrastructure, and any proposed mitigation measures.

- **Appendix B-1, Coastal Zone Management Consistency Statements: Rhode Island:**
  - The applicant shall provide a description of any proposed mitigation measures that are required by the Coastal Zone Management Act.

- **Appendix D, Environmental Protection Measures:**
  - Section 4.3, Biological Resources; Section 4.3.2, Benthic and Shellfish Resources; Section 4.3.3, Finfish and Essential Fish Habitat, Appendix I, Essential Fish Habitat Assessment; Appendix G, Hydrodynamic and Sediment Transport Modeling Reports
  - The applicant shall provide a description of any proposed mitigation measures that are required to protect the biological resources of the area.

- **Appendix F, Safety Management System:**
  - The applicant shall submit the Safety Management System, the contents of which are described in Section 11.10.6 of this part. The applicant shall include the following items in the applicant's Facility Design Report:

- **Appendix H, Emergency Response Plan:**
  - The applicant shall include a description of the emergency response plan required by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, 33 USC 2701 et seq.

- **Appendix J, Desktop Marine Archaeological Resources Assessment:**
  - Appendix J, Desktop Marine Archaeological Resources Assessment
  - The applicant shall include a description of any proposed mitigation measures that are required to protect the archaeological resources of the area.

- **Appendix K, Commercial and Recreational Fisheries:**
  - Appendix K, Commercial and Recreational Fisheries
  - The applicant shall include a description of any proposed mitigation measures that are required to protect the commercial and recreational fisheries of the area.

- **Appendix M, Oil Spill Response Plan:**
  - Appendix M, Oil Spill Response Plan
  - The applicant shall include a description of any proposed mitigation measures that are required to protect the oil spills in the area.

- **Appendix N, Benthic Assessment:**
  - Appendix N, Benthic Assessment
  - The applicant shall include a description of any proposed mitigation measures that are required to protect the benthic resources of the area.

- **Appendix O, Commercial and Recreational Fisheries:**
  - Appendix O, Commercial and Recreational Fisheries
  - The applicant shall include a description of any proposed mitigation measures that are required to protect the commercial and recreational fisheries of the area.

- **Appendix P, Coastal and Marine Uses:**
  - Appendix P, Coastal and Marine Uses
  - The applicant shall include a description of any proposed mitigation measures that are required to protect the coastal and marine uses of the area.

- **Appendix Q1, Historic Archaeological Resources Assessment:**
  - Appendix Q1, Historic Archaeological Resources Assessment
  - The applicant shall include a description of any proposed mitigation measures that are required to protect the historic archaeological resources of the area.

- **Appendix Q2, Historic Resources Visual Effects Analysis- Revolution Wind Farm:**
  - Appendix Q2, Historic Resources Visual Effects Analysis- Revolution Wind Farm
  - The applicant shall include a description of any proposed mitigation measures that are required to protect the historic resources of the area.

- **Appendix R, Marine Archaeological Resources Assessment:**
  - Appendix R, Marine Archaeological Resources Assessment
  - The applicant shall include a description of any proposed mitigation measures that are required to protect the marine archaeological resources of the area.

- **Appendix T, Benthic Assessment:**
  - Appendix T, Benthic Assessment
  - The applicant shall include a description of any proposed mitigation measures that are required to protect the benthic resources of the area.

- **Appendix U, Assessment of Impacts to Marine Mammals, Sea Turtles, and ESA-Listed Fish Species:**
  - Appendix U, Assessment of Impacts to Marine Mammals, Sea Turtles, and ESA-Listed Fish Species
  - The applicant shall include a description of any proposed mitigation measures that are required to protect the marine mammals, sea turtles, and ESA-listed fish species of the area.

- **Appendix V, Assessment of the Potential Effects of the Revolution Offshore Wind Farm on Birds & Bats:**
  - Appendix V, Assessment of the Potential Effects of the Revolution Offshore Wind Farm on Birds & Bats
  - The applicant shall include a description of any proposed mitigation measures that are required to protect the birds and bats of the area.

- **Appendix W, Wind Turbine Effects:**
  - Appendix W, Wind Turbine Effects
  - The applicant shall include a description of any proposed mitigation measures that are required to protect the wind turbine effects of the area.

- **Appendix X, Ocean SAMP Section Number 650-RICR-20-05-11:**
  - Ocean SAMP Section Number 650-RICR-20-05-11
  - The applicant shall include a description of any proposed mitigation measures that are required to protect the ocean special areas management plan of the area.

- **Appendix Y, Commercial and Recreational Fisheries:**
  - Appendix Y, Commercial and Recreational Fisheries
  - The applicant shall include a description of any proposed mitigation measures that are required to protect the commercial and recreational fisheries of the area.

- **Appendix Z, Offshore Wind Farm on Birds & Bats:**
  - Appendix Z, Offshore Wind Farm on Birds & Bats
  - The applicant shall include a description of any proposed mitigation measures that are required to protect the birds and bats of the area.

- **COP Section Reference:**
  - The applicant shall include a description of any proposed mitigation measures that are required to protect the COP section reference of the area.

---

*Note: The text above is a structured representation of the information provided in the document, organized in a tabular format to facilitate easy reading and comprehension.*

---

*The text above is a structured representation of the information provided in the document, organized in a tabular format to facilitate easy reading and comprehension.*
Policy Requirement

A major repair is a corrective action involving structural members affecting the structural integrity of a Location.

Response to Policy for RWEC

The applicant shall notify the Council in writing of the following events, within the time periods provided:

- The applicant shall submit a Project Modification and Repair Report to the Council, demonstrating that all required permits and approvals are in place. The report shall include:
  - Complete set of structural drawings.
  - Summary of environmental data used for design.
  - Summary of engineering design data.
  - coast survey data to design basis.
  - a report on geotechnical investigations;
  - a complete set of design calculations.
  - i. A CVA report shall include the following in a Fabrication and Installation Report which shall include four paper copies of the following certification statement: “The fabrication and installation of this structure has been certified by a Council approved CVA to be in accordance with accepted engineering practices and the approved SAP or COP as appropriate.”

- The applicant shall notify the Council, within 5 business days, any time the applicant ceases commercial operations for any period that is longer than the duration of the project permit.

- The applicant shall seek approval from the Council in writing before conducting any activities not described in the approved COP. The approved COP shall demonstrate how the applicant’s facilities shall be fabricated and installed in a manner that conforms to the applicant’s responsibilities stated in Section 11.10.6 of the Part. The applicant shall include the following items in the applicant’s Fabrication and Installation Report:
  - The RWF is consistent with this policy. The CVA nomination was submitted with the COP and was approved by BOEM.
  - The RWEC is consistent with this policy. The CVA nomination was submitted with the COP and was approved by BOEM.
  - Appendix B-1. Coastal Zone Management Consistency Statements: Rhode Island
  - Appendix C, Certified Verification Agent
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ocean SAMP Section Number</th>
<th>COP Section Reference</th>
<th>G0-ORC-24-05-11</th>
<th>Policy Requirement</th>
<th>Response to Policy for RWF</th>
<th>Response to Policy for RWEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Policy shall make a CVA to review and certify the facility design, fabrication, and installation report, and the project modifications and repair report. The applicant shall use a CVA to:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CVA shall conduct an independent assessment of all proposed:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Foundation pilings and templates,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Load determinations;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. Towing operation procedures to the specified location, and review the towing records;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4. The CVA shall do all of the following:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Repair procedures;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. If the CVA finds that fabrication and installation procedures have been changed or design specifications have been modified, the CVA shall inform the applicant and the Council.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. Engineering failure analysis and repair and modification and repair report, or for any combination of these.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4. The CVA shall conduct an independent assessment of all proposed:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Planning failures;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Unforeseen foundational issues;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. Pile or anchor installations;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4. Submergence activities;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5. Installation of corrosion protection systems and splash zone protection;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(16)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6. Erection procedures to ensure that overstressing of structural members does not occur;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(17)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7. Alignment procedures;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(18)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8. Welder and welding procedure qualification and identification;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(19)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9. Quality control by lessee (or grant holder) and builder;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10. Other pertinent parameters of the proposed design.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(21)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>For each CVA the applicant shall submit to the Council a list of documents that shall forward to the CVA and a qualification statement that includes the following:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(22)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Experience in third-party verification or experience in the design, fabrication, installation, or major modification of offshore energy facilities.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Technical expertise in the field of the primary staff for the specific project.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(24)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. Ability to perform the CVA functions for the specific project considering current commitments.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(25)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4. Experience with other current requirements and procedures.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(26)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5. The level of work to be performed by the CVA.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(27)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The applicant shall nominate a CVA for Council Approval. The applicant shall also submit with the COP a statement that in its judgment the CVA is no longer able to serve in a CVA capacity for the project; or</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(28)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The CVA shall monitor the fabrication and installation of the facility to ensure that it has been built and installed in accordance with engineering practices and the facility design report and fabrication and installation report; and will be approved by BOEM.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.6(A)(29)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Appendix B-1. Coastal Zone Management Consistency Statements: Rhode Island DWW Rev I, LLC approved by BOEM.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** The text includes references to specific sections of the COP, which are not fully visible in the image. The full context and requirements are provided in the COP document itself.
The project may affect or alter critical habitat and require measures to avoid likely adverse modification or destruction of designated critical habitat. If the project has the potential to impact critical habitat, the project may require the following: an Environmental Impact Study, a construction and maintenance plan, and an Environmental Impact Statement. These measures are designed to ensure minimal or no adverse impacts to the critical habitat.

If the project has the potential to impact critical habitat, the project may require the following: an Environmental Impact Study, a construction and maintenance plan, and an Environmental Impact Statement. These measures are designed to ensure minimal or no adverse impacts to the critical habitat.

If the project has the potential to impact critical habitat, the project may require the following: an Environmental Impact Study, a construction and maintenance plan, and an Environmental Impact Statement. These measures are designed to ensure minimal or no adverse impacts to the critical habitat.

If the project has the potential to impact critical habitat, the project may require the following: an Environmental Impact Study, a construction and maintenance plan, and an Environmental Impact Statement. These measures are designed to ensure minimal or no adverse impacts to the critical habitat.

If the project has the potential to impact critical habitat, the project may require the following: an Environmental Impact Study, a construction and maintenance plan, and an Environmental Impact Statement. These measures are designed to ensure minimal or no adverse impacts to the critical habitat.

If the project has the potential to impact critical habitat, the project may require the following: an Environmental Impact Study, a construction and maintenance plan, and an Environmental Impact Statement. These measures are designed to ensure minimal or no adverse impacts to the critical habitat.
The RWF is consistent with the policy. DWW Rev I is committed to conducting monitoring plans for commercially and recreationally targeted species, consistent with this policy. DWW Rev I will develop plans for observations of boat intensity. Based on coordination with the Council, monitoring will occur prior to, during, and post-construction.

The RWF is consistent with the policy. If appropriate, DWW Rev I will develop plans for observations of boat intensity. Based on coordination with the Council, monitoring will occur prior to, during, and post-construction.

The RWF is consistent with the policy. DWW Rev I is committed to conducting monitoring plans for commercially and recreationally targeted species, consistent with this policy. DWW Rev I will develop plans for observations of boat intensity. Based on coordination with the Council, monitoring will occur prior to, during, and post-construction.

The RWF is consistent with the policy. DWW Rev I is committed to conducting monitoring plans for commercially and recreationally targeted species, consistent with this policy. DWW Rev I will develop plans for observations of boat intensity. Based on coordination with the Council, monitoring will occur prior to, during, and post-construction.

The RWF is consistent with the policy. DWW Rev I is committed to conducting monitoring plans for commercially and recreationally targeted species, consistent with this policy. DWW Rev I will develop plans for observations of boat intensity. Based on coordination with the Council, monitoring will occur prior to, during, and post-construction.

The RWF is consistent with the policy. Consistent with this policy, DWW Rev I is committed to conducting monitoring plans for commercially and recreationally targeted species, consistent with this policy. DWW Rev I will develop plans for observations of boat intensity. Based on coordination with the Council, monitoring will occur prior to, during, and post-construction.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ocean SAMP Section Number</th>
<th>Policy Requirement</th>
<th>Response to Policy for RWF</th>
<th>Response to Policy for RWEC</th>
<th>COP Section Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.10.9(B)(1)</td>
<td>Assessment of commercial and recreational fisheries effort, landings, and landings value shall be required for all proposed Offshore Developments. Assessment shall focus on the proposed project area and alternatives. This assessment shall evaluate commercial and recreational fishing effort, landings, and landings value at three different stages: preconstruction (to assess baseline conditions); during construction; and during operation. At each stage, all four seasons of the year must be evaluated. Assessment may use existing fisheries monitoring data but shall be supplemented by interviews with commercial and recreational fishermen. Assessment shall address whether fishing effort, landings, and landings value has changed in comparison to baseline conditions. The assessment shall include projects within the Renewable Energy Zone that are proposed within 2 years of the adoption of the Ocean SAMP.</td>
<td>The RWF is consistent with this policy. The RWF will be operated and monitored by DWW Rev I and will be inspected and tested on a regular basis based on manufacturer suggestions. A CVA has been nominated to verify design and installation of the RWF via the FDR and FIR.</td>
<td>The RWEC is consistent with this policy. The RWEC will be operated and monitored by DWW Rev I and will be inspected and tested on a regular basis based on manufacturer suggestions. A CVA has been nominated to verify design and installation of the RWEC via the FDR and FIR.</td>
<td>Section 4.4, Recreation and Tourism; Section 4.6.5, Commercial and Recreational Fishing; Section 4.7, Summary of Potential Impacts and Proposed Environmental Protection Measures; Appendix T, Benthic Assessment; Appendix I, Essential Fish Habitat Assessment; Appendix U, Assessment of Impacts to Marine Mammals, Sea Turtles, and ESA Listed Fish Species; and Appendix Y, Commercial and Recreational Fisheries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.10.9(B)(2)</td>
<td>The Council in coordination with the Joint Agency Working Group may also require facility and infrastructure monitoring requirements, that may include but are not limited to:</td>
<td>The RWF is consistent with this policy. The RWF will be operated and monitored by DWW Rev I and will be inspected and tested on a regular basis based on manufacturer suggestions. A CVA has been nominated to verify design and installation of the RWF via the FDR and FIR.</td>
<td>The RWEC is consistent with this policy. The RWEC will be operated and monitored by DWW Rev I and will be inspected and tested on a regular basis based on manufacturer suggestions. A CVA has been nominated to verify design and installation of the RWEC via the FDR and FIR.</td>
<td>Section 3.0, Description of Proposed Activity; Section 1.7, Certified Verification Agent Nominations; Appendix C, Certified Verification Agent.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B-2
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy #</th>
<th>Policy Requirement</th>
<th>Response to Policy for RWF</th>
<th>Response to Policy for RWEC</th>
<th>COP Section Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Prioritize acquisition of hazardous coastal areas that have high conservation and/or recreation values and land subject to coastal storm flowage, salt marshes, and land under the ocean.</td>
<td>The Revolution Wind (RWF) is consistent with this policy. The RWF is a wind power facility located within Lease Area Outer Continental Shelf (OCS)-A 0486 (Lease Area) that will preserve and protect the beneficial functions provided by lands under the ocean.</td>
<td>The Revolution Wind Export Cable (RWEC) is consistent with this policy. The RWEC has been designed to use construction techniques to avoid or minimize environmental impacts to the greatest extent practicable.</td>
<td>Section 2.0, Project Siting and Design Development; Section 4.2.2, Water Quality and Water Resources; Section 4.3.1 Coastal Habitat; and Section 4.7 Summary of Potential Impacts and Proposed Environmental Protection Measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ensure that construction in water bodies and contiguous land areas will minimize interference with water circulation and sediment transport. Flood or erosion control projects must demonstrate no significant adverse effects on the project site or adjacent or downcoast areas.</td>
<td>The RWF is consistent with this policy. Construction associated with the RWF will occur approximately 12 statute miles (mi) (19.4 nautical miles [nm], 19 kilometers [km]) southwest of off hect coast of Martha's Vineyard, Massachusetts. Construction will not interfere with water circulation and sedimen transport and does not involve a flood or erosion control project.</td>
<td>The RWEC is consistent with this policy. The RWEC will not be located within Massachusetts waters and therefore there will be no construction that interferes with water circulation or sediment transport.</td>
<td>Section 2.0, Project Siting and Design Development; Section 4.1.3, Sediment Suspension and Deposition; Section 4.2.2, Water Quality and Water Resources; Section 4.2.3, Geologic Resources; Section 4.7, Summary of Potential Impacts and Proposed Environmental Protection Measures; and Appendix G, Hydrodynamic and Sediment Transport Modeling Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ensure that state and federally funded public works projects proposed for location within the coastal zone will: Be reasonably safe from flood and erosion-related damage. Not promote growth and development in hazard-prone or buffer areas, especially in velocity zone and Areas of Critical Environmental Concern. Not be used on Coastal Barrier Resource Units for new or substantial reconstruction of structures in a manner inconsistent with Coastal Barrier Resource/Improvement Acts.</td>
<td>These policies are not applicable because the RWEC will not be located within the Massachusetts coastal zone. This is not a federally funded public works project.</td>
<td>These policies are not applicable because the RWEC will not be located within the Massachusetts coastal zone. This is not a federally funded public works project.</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Prioritize acquisition of hazardous coastal areas that have high conservation and/or recreation values and relaxation of structures out of coastal high-hazard areas, giving due consideration to the effects of coastal hazards at the location to the use and manageability of the area.</td>
<td>This policy is not applicable because the RWF is an offshore wind facility that is outside the Massachusetts coastal zone.</td>
<td>The RWEC will not be located in Massachusetts coastal waters or marine areas; therefore, this policy does not apply.</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Energy |
|---------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| 1       | For coastally dependent energy facilities, assess siting in alternative coastal locations. For non-coastally dependent energy facilities, assess siting in areas outside of the coastal zone. Weigh the environmental and safety impacts of locating proposed energy facilities at alternative sites. | The RWF is consistent with this policy. The RWF is not proposed for coastal siting in Massachusetts and is not coastally dependent. The RWF is an offshore wind energy facility located in the Lease Area OCS-A 0486 to enable it to perform its obligations under the Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) by generating electricity from an offshore wind farm located 12 miles southwest of Martha's Vineyard, Massachusetts and transmitting the electricity to an interconnection location (i.e., Davisville Substation) in Rhode Island. The location of the RWF will not interfere with natural coastal processes, will not cause and increase in erosion, and will result in adverse impacts to water quality, physical processes, and marine productivity. | This policy is not applicable; the RWEC will not be located within the Massachusetts coastal zone. | Section 2.0, Project Siting and Design Development; Section 4.1.3, Sediment Suspension and Deposition; Section 4.2.2, Water Quality and Water Resources; Section 4.2.3, Geologic Resources; Section 4.7, Summary of Potential Impacts and Proposed Environmental Protection Measures; and Appendix G, Hydrodynamic and Sediment Transport Modeling Report |
| 2       | Encourage energy conservation and the use of renewable sources such as solar and wind power in order to assist in meeting the energy needs of the Commonwealth. | The RWF is consistent with this policy. The RWF is not proposed for coastal siting in Massachusetts and is not coastally dependent. The RWF is an offshore wind energy facility located in the Lease Area OCS-A 0486 to enable it to perform its obligations under the PPA (within the states of Connecticut and Rhode Island) by generating electricity from an offshore wind farm located 12 miles southwest of Martha's Vineyard and transmitting the electricity to an interconnection location (i.e., Davisville Substation) located in Rhode Island. The RWF will provide National Grid and the northeast transmission grid with a sustainable source of zero-carbon generation from renewable energy sources. | This policy is not applicable; the RWEC will not be located within the Massachusetts coastal zone. | Section 1.3, Project Purpose |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy #</th>
<th>Policy/Requirement</th>
<th>Response to Policy for RWF</th>
<th>Response to Policy for RWEC</th>
<th>COP Section Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ensure that state and federally funded infrastructure projects in the coastal zone primarily serve existing developed areas, assigning highest priority to projects that meet the needs of urban and community development centers.</td>
<td>This policy is not applicable because the RWF is not located 12 miles offshore the coast of Martha’s Vineyard.</td>
<td>This policy is not applicable because the RWEC does not occur in the Massachusetts coastal waters.</td>
<td>Section 1.3, Project Purpose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Encourage the revitalization and enhancement of existing development centers in the coastal zone through technical assistance and financial support for residential, commercial, and industrial development.</td>
<td>This policy is not applicable because the RWF is not located 12 miles offshore.</td>
<td>This policy is not applicable because the RWEC does not occur in the Massachusetts coastal zone.</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Protect coastal, estuarine, and marine habitats - including salt marshes, shellfish beds, submerged aquatic vegetation, dunes, beaches, barrier beaches, banks, salt ponds, eelgrass beds, tidal flats, rocky shore, bays, sounds, and other ocean habitats - and coastal freshwater streams, ponds, and wetlands to preserve critical wildlife habitat and other important functions and services including nutrient and sediment attenuation, wave and storm damage protection, and landform movement and processes.</td>
<td>The RWF is not located in the Massachusetts coastal zone and therefore will not impact habitats.</td>
<td>The RWEC is not located in the Massachusetts coastal zone and therefore will not impact habitats.</td>
<td>Section 4.2.2, Water Quality and Water Resources; Section 4.3.2, Biological Resources; Section 4.3.1, Coastal and Terrestrial Habitat; Section 4.3.3, Freshwater and Shellfish Resources; Section 4.3.4, Fish and Essential Fish Habitat Section 4.7, Summary of Potential Impacts and Proposed Environmental Protection Measures; Appendix I, Benthic Assessment; Appendix I, Essential Habitat Assessment; Appendix V, Assessment of Impacts to Marine Mammals, Sea Turtles, and ESA-Listed Fish Species; Appendix V, Assessment of the Potential Effects of the Revolution Offshore Wind Farm on Birds &amp; Bats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Advance the restoration of degraded or former habitats in coastal and marine areas.</td>
<td>This policy is not applicable. The RWF does not occur in the Massachusetts coastal waters or marine areas.</td>
<td>This policy is not applicable. The RWEC does not occur in the Massachusetts coastal waters or marine areas.</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ocean Resources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy #</th>
<th>Policy/Requirement</th>
<th>Response to Policy for RWF</th>
<th>Response to Policy for RWEC</th>
<th>COP Section Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Support the development of sustainable aquaculture, both for commercial and enhancement (public, shellfish stocking) purposes. Ensure that the review process regulating aquaculture facility sites (and access routes to those areas) protects significant ecological resources (salt marshes, dunes, beaches, barrier beaches, and salt ponds) and minimizes adverse effects on the coastal and marine environment and other water-dependent uses.</td>
<td>This policy does not apply. The RWF is an offshore wind facility which does not involve aquaculture.</td>
<td>This policy is not applicable because the RWEC is a buried (or otherwise protected) export cable that does not involve aquaculture.</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Except where such activity is prohibited by the Ocean Sanctuaries Act, the Massachusetts Ocean Management Plan, or other applicable provision of law, the extraction of oil, natural gas, or marine minerals (other than sand and gravel) in or affecting the coastal zone must protect marine resources, marine water quality, fisheries, and navigational, recreational and other uses.</td>
<td>This policy does not apply. The RWF is an offshore wind facility which does not involve aquaculture.</td>
<td>This policy is not applicable. The RWEC is a buried (or otherwise protected) export cable and does not propose the extraction of oil, natural gas, or marine minerals.</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Accommodate offshore sand and gravel extraction needs in areas and in ways that will not adversely affect marine resources, navigation, or shoreline areas because of alteration of wave direction and dynamics. Extraction of sand and gravel, when and where permitted, will be primarily for the purpose of beach nourishment or shoreline stabilization.</td>
<td>This policy is not applicable because the RWF does not propose the extraction of sand and gravel.</td>
<td>This policy is not applicable because the RWEC is a buried (or otherwise protected) export cable and does not propose the extraction of sand and gravel.</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ports and Harbors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy #</th>
<th>Policy/Requirement</th>
<th>Response to Policy for RWF</th>
<th>Response to Policy for RWEC</th>
<th>COP Section Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ensure that dredging and disposal of dredged material minimize adverse effects on water quality, physical processes, marine productivity, and public health and take full advantage of opportunities for beneficial re-use.</td>
<td>This policy is not applicable because the RWF does not propose dredging or disposal of dredged material in the Massachusetts coastal zone.</td>
<td>This policy is not applicable because the RWEC is a buried (or otherwise protected) export cable that will not require dredging or dredged material disposal within Massachusetts coastal waters.</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Obtain the widest possible public benefit from channel dredging and ensure that Designated Port Areas and developed harbors are given highest priority in the allocation of resources.</td>
<td>This policy is not applicable because the RWF does not propose channel dredging in the Massachusetts coastal waters or a Designated Port Area.</td>
<td>This policy is not applicable because the RWEC does not propose channel dredging in the Massachusetts coastal waters or a Designated Port Area.</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Preserve and enhance the capacity of Designated Port Areas to accommodate water-dependent uses and prevent the exclusion of such uses from tidelands and any other DPA lands over which an EEA agency exerts control by virtue of ownership or other legal authority.</td>
<td>This policy is not applicable because the RWF is not within a DPA.</td>
<td>This policy is not applicable because the RWEC is not within a DPA.</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>For development on tidelands and other coastal waterways, preserve and enhance the immediate waterfront for vessel-related activities that require sufficient space and suitable facilities along the water’s edge for operational purposes.</td>
<td>This policy is not applicable because the RWF is an offshore wind facility located in the Lees Area and does not include development on tidelands or other coastal waterways.</td>
<td>This policy is not applicable because the RWEC does not include development on tidelands or other coastal waterways within Massachusetts coastal waters.</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Encourage, through technical and financial assistance, expansion of water-dependent uses in Designated Port Areas and developed harbors, re-development of urban waterfronts, and expansion of physical and visual access.</td>
<td>This policy is not applicable because the RWF is an offshore wind facility and does not include development or redevelopment of waterfront areas.</td>
<td>This policy is not applicable because the RWEC does not occur in the Massachusetts coastal waters and does not include development or redevelopment of waterfront areas.</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Protected Areas**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy #</th>
<th>Policy/Requirement</th>
<th>Response to Policy for RWF</th>
<th>Response to Policy for RWEC</th>
<th>COP Section Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Preserve, restore, and enhance coastal Areas of Critical Environmental Concern, which are complexes of natural and cultural resources of regional or statewide significance.</td>
<td>This policy is not applicable because the RWF is an offshore wind facility and will not affect state-designated Areas of Critical Environmental Concern.</td>
<td>This policy is not applicable because the RWEC does not occur within the Massachusetts coastal zone or within a state-designated Area of Critical Environmental Concern.</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Protect state designated scenic rivers in the coastal zone.</td>
<td>This policy is not applicable because the RWF is an offshore wind facility and will not affect scenic rivers in the coastal zone.</td>
<td>This policy is not applicable because the RWEC is a buried (or otherwise protected) export cable that does not occur within the Massachusetts coastal zone.</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy #</td>
<td>Policy/Requirement</td>
<td>Response to Policy for RWF</td>
<td>Response to Policy for RWEC</td>
<td>COP Section Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ensure that proposed developments in or near designated or registered historic places respect the preservation intent of the designation and that potential adverse effects are minimized.</td>
<td>This policy is not applicable because the RWF is not located in the Massachusetts coastal area.</td>
<td>This policy is not applicable because the RWEC is not located in the Massachusetts coastal area.</td>
<td>Section 4.4, Cultural Resources; Appendix Q2, Historic Resources Visual Effects Analysis - Revolution Wind Farm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Public Access**

1. **Ensure that development (both water-dependent or nonwater-dependent) of coastal sites subject to state waterways regulation will promote general public use and enjoyment of the water's edge, to an extent commensurate with the Commonwealth's interests in flowed and filled tidelands under the Public Trust Doctrine.**
   - This policy is not applicable because the RWF is an offshore wind facility that is not sited within the Massachusetts coastal zone and will not affect public access.
   - This policy is not applicable because the RWEC is an offshore wind facility that is not sited within the Massachusetts coastal zone and will not affect public access.
   - Not applicable

2. **Ensure public access to existing coastal recreation facilities and alternate auto traffic and parking problems through improvements in public transportation and trail links (land- or water-based) to other nearby facilities. Increase capacity of existing recreation areas by facilitating multiuse development and public support facilities. Ensure that the adverse impacts of developments proposed near existing public access and recreation sites are minimized.**
   - This policy is not applicable because the RWF is an offshore wind facility that is not sited within the Massachusetts coastal zone.
   - This policy is not applicable because the RWEC is a buried export (or otherwise protected) export cable that is not sited within the Massachusetts coastal zone and does not affect public access near recreational facilities.
   - Not applicable

3. **Expand existing recreation facilities and acquire and develop new public areas for coastal recreational activities, giving highest priority to regions of high need or limited site availability. Provide technical assistance to developers of both public and private recreation facilities and sites that increase public access to the shoreline to ensure that both transportation access and the recreation facilities are compatible with social and environmental characteristics of surrounding communities.**
   - This policy is not applicable because the RWF is an offshore wind facility and is not sited in the Massachusetts coastal zone.
   - This policy is not applicable because the RWEC is a buried export (or otherwise protected) cable that is not sited within the Massachusetts coastal area.
   - Not applicable

**Water Quality**

1. **Ensure that point-source discharges and withdrawals in or affecting the coastal zone do not compromise water quality standards and protected designated uses and other interests.**
   - This policy is not applicable because the RWF is an offshore wind facility that will not produce point-source discharges or withdrawals. Construction phase spills or discharges will be managed in accordance with a project-specific Emergency Response Plan/Oil Spill Response Plan.
   - This policy is not applicable because the RWEC is a buried (or otherwise protected) export cable that does not occur within the Massachusetts coastal zone and will not produce point-source discharges or withdrawals into or affecting the coastal zone. Construction phase spills or discharges will be managed in accordance with a project-specific Emergency Response Plan/Oil Spill Response Plan.
   - Appendix D, Emergency Response Plan/Oil Spill Response Plan

2. **Ensure the implementation of nonpoint source pollution controls to promote the attainment of water quality standards and protect designated uses and other interests.**
   - This policy is not applicable because the RWF is an offshore wind facility. The RWF has been designed to use construction techniques to avoid or minimize environmental impacts, such as nonpoint source discharges of pollutants, to the greatest extent practicable into coastal waters. Construction phase spills or discharges will be managed in accordance with a project-specific Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan and Emergency Response Plan/Oil Spill Response Plan.
   - This policy is not applicable. The RWEC is a buried export (or otherwise protected) cable that does not occur within the Massachusetts coastal zone and will not produce nonpoint source pollution. Construction phase spills or discharges will be managed in accordance with a project-specific Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan and Emergency Response Plan/Oil Spill Response Plan.
   - Section 4.2.2, Water Quality and Water Resources; and Section 4.7, Summary of Potential Impacts and Proposed Environmental Protection Measures

3. **Ensure that subsurface waste discharges conform to applicable standards, including the siting, construction, and maintenance requirements for on-site wastewater disposal systems, water quality standards, established Total Maximum Daily Load limits, and prohibitions on facilities in high-hazard areas.**
   - This policy is not applicable because the RWF is an offshore wind facility that will not produce subsurface waste discharges that will require an onsite wastewater disposal system.
   - This policy is not applicable because the RWEC is a buried (or otherwise protected) underwater export cable that does not occur within the Massachusetts coastal zone and will not produce subsurface waste discharges that will require an onsite wastewater disposal system.
   - Not applicable