STATE OF RHODE ISLAND AND PROVIDENCE PLANTATIONS

COASTAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT COUNCIL

* * * * * * * * * * *

IN RE: SEMIMONTHLY MEETING

* * * * * * * * * * * * *

Date: February 13, 2018

Time: 6:00 p.m.

Place: Administration Building

One Capitol Hill Conference Room A Providence, RI

MEMBERS PRESENT
Jennifer Cervenka, Chairwoman
Raymond Coia, Vice Chairman
Patricia Reynolds
Ronald Gagnon
Jerry Sahagian
Lisette Gomes
Michael Hudner
Michelle Collie

MEMBERS ABSENT: Joy Montanaro, Donald Gomez

Anthony Desisto, Esquire, Legal Counsel John Longo, Esquire, Legal Counsel STAFF PRESENT Grover Fugate, Executive Director Jeffrey Willis, CRMC Deputy Director David Beutel Tracy Silvia

ORIGINAL

IRONS & ASSOCIATES
CERTIFIED PROFESSIONAL STENOGRAPHERS
33 Rollingwood Drive
Johnston, Rhode Island 02919
(401) 474-8441

Irons & Associates Court Reporters (401)474-8441 stenorf@gmail.com

INDEX

APPLICATION	PAGE NUMBER
2017-07-021	Graham Watson27
2016-07-073	Edward St. Angelo35
2016-10-076	Upkar and Sukhjit DhillonCONTINUED
2017-05-006	Edward Troiano84
	nd - Block Island Wind Farm Forsten Muuss11



MR. LONGO: We will take a five-minute break.

(BRIEF PAUSE)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

Madam Chair, the next MR. FUGATE: applicant for the dock, the applicant hasn't arrived, their legal counsel isn't here, if they are represented, I don't know if they are. They are discussing the redesign of the dock with the objectors. objectors agree to the redesign, they will withdraw their objection and it may not have to reappear before the Council. If they don't agree, it will be back before the Council for this application. So, we are done.

CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Thank you, On 2017-05-006, Edward Troiano, to create Mr. Fugate. and maintain a one-half acre oyster aquaculture site. Do we have a report from staff, Mr. Beutel?

Yes, we do. MR. BEUTEL: As you stated, this is for a one-half acre Madam Chair. site to grow oysters. This is south of Nayat Point, off of the Barrington Country Club. It is about, the site is 600 feet from the mean high water mark to the depth of water that is around three feet at mean low water. The method proposed is something that the Council hasn't

seen very much of and that's submerged, it's a suspended longline that has cages hanging off of the line. So, there are pipes or stakes that come up, a line strung between the pipes and the actual cages or bags of oysters hang on that line and then they swing with the current. They're relatively small, they're about three feet long and six inches in diameter. It's a method that's not common in Rhode Island. We have two sites that use it. It's relatively new here, but internationally it's a proven method, successful to grow oysters.

This application has gone through the standard reviews and we've gotten letters from the Department of Environmental Management, Water Resources, Division of Fish & Wildlife and from the Historic Preservation and Conservation Commission, none of which have an objection. The Marine Fisheries Council has an objection that this aquaculture at this site is not consistent with the competing fishing activities in this area. So, this area is in the upper bay, it's in the conditional area A, which I don't know if that means anything to you, but certainly that it is an area of significant shellfishing, quahogging, it's where most of the product that's landed in this State comes from.

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In addition to the letter of objection from the Marine Fisheries Council we received about a dozen letters of objection from the shellfishing industry, all of which are attached to the report, and I'm not going to belabor all of these, but I'll read down the list of what the major topics were and then I'll try and center in on what staff sees as the main point.

The items that are mentioned here were concern over conditional area A during closure period, limiting access to productive fishing grounds. All of conditional area A is seen as valuable to commercial fishermen. Concern over potential debris from aquaculture. This is a historically productive fishing Concern over precedent and the future expansion of aquaculture in the area. This is a sheltered area for winter work as it is in the lead from northerly The aquaculture gear is not sufficiently weather. robust, that would withstand major storms. Finally, the area should be maintained for free and common use instead of leased for private interest.

It is staff's opinion that in our assessment of this this particular site does not have a high density of quahogs. Our site assessment had 0.27 quahogs per square meter. That's a very low density. The applicant

surveyed the Division of Fish & Wildlife dredge survey data, and for the dredge survey the bay is broken up into squares and he surveyed and determined the amount of quahogs taken from every survey that his little square -- that his application is contained in that little square. We've provided that in summary form. That came up with 04.7 quahogs per square meter. Once again, a very low density. So, to me this does not appear, if this one-half acre site would have a significant negative effect on the shellfishing industry. The main concern that is brought up, in my opinion, is that once one's aquaculture site goes into an area, more will follow.

Staff agrees that this proposed site is adjacent to very good quahogging, and that expansion of aquaculture would likely go into the more significant quahogging area but that this site in and of itself does not. That's the logic that I used in recommending approval.

On page four of this section of the Council package, the fifth paragraph down really, and it starts "The Rhode Island Coastal Resources Management Program," it is a long paragraph, but that really to me summarizes my opinion and what the main question is in front of the

1	Council, in approval or denial of this application.
2	Overall I recommend approval. I recommend approval.
3	CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Thank you,
4	Mr. Beutel. Are there questions Council members have
5	for Mr. Beutel?
6	MR. FUGATE: Dave, at any time was there
7	any discussion with the applicant that the Council
8	potentially could approve it, but lock you into a half
9	acre period and that would be it?
10	MR. BEUTEL: Yes, and the applicant said
11	he would be satisfied with a half an acre.
12	CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: To follow-up on
13	that, as far as productivity in a half an acre, I mean
14	that is pretty small as far as aquaculture?
15	MR. BEUTEL: That's correct. The
16	largest case in Rhode Island is four acres.
17	CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: So this is the
18	smallest?
19	MR. BEUTEL: This is small. This would
20	not be a main income for someone.
21	CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Okay. And the
22	applicant is open to limiting to that one-half acre?
23	MR. BEUTEL: In their discussions, yes.
24	CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: And when you say

that it is close to or abutting really good quahogging, 1 how close is that? 2 I think you are going to MR. BEUTEL: 3 hear that in a minute. 4 5 CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Okay. No. Ι just, and we can talk about that with the applicant and 6 7 the objectors, I'm just curious about that. Any other questions for Mr. Beutel? 8 MS. REYNOLDS: Madam Chair. 9 CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Yes. 10 MS. REYNOLDS: One question about the 11 stipulation for the performance bond. Is that a 12 13 standard stipulation or is that something specific to this application? 14 I'm sorry, I can't hear 15 MR. BEUTEL: 16 you. MR. FUGATE: I'm sorry, it is a standard 17 stipulation. 18 MR. BEUTEL: Yes. I would say that the 19 \$10,000 recommendation is high for half an acre. 20 MS. REYNOLDS: Okay. 21 CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: So with respect to 22 what we're looking at as far as conflicting uses, the 23 CRMP says that it cannot unreasonably interfere with the 24

```
public access, right, that's what we look at?
 1
                                     Reasonably, and the word
                        MR. BEUTEL:
 2
       significant isn't there.
 3
                        CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Yes.
                                                     Did you say
 4
        in addition significantly?
 5
                        MR. BEUTEL: Yes, would not have a
 6
       significant impact.
 7
                                                      If there
                        CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA:
                                               Okay.
 8
       are no further questions of Council members, then I
9
       would ask if the applicant would like to present.
10
                        MR. BEUTEL: Can I add one thing.
                                                            Ι
11
       mentioned the letters of objection, and just over this
12
       weekend we received six letters of support, and I think
13
       that someone has provided them to you.
14
                        CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: These ones, okay.
15
                        MR. BEUTEL: They did not come in.
16
       came in this weekend, which is well after I wrote the
17
       report.
18
                        CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA:
                                              Okay.
                                                      Thank you.
19
       So, do we have the applicant here who would like to
20
       present?
21
                        MR. TROIANO: I didn't realize you had
22
                      I have an exhibit to show, which I think
       an overhead.
23
       would be helpful during the process.
2.4
```

1 MR. LONGO: Would you raise your right 2 hand. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the 3 whole truth and nothing but the truth so help you God? MR. TROIANO: I do. 4 5 MR. LONGO: Identify yourself for the record, please. 6 7 MR. TROIANO: Edward T-R-O-I-A-N-O. 8 CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Thank you. 9 Mr. Troiano, it's your presentation. 10 MR. TROIANO: Thank you all for your 11 time this evening, and I would also like to thank Dave, especially, I've been kind of a pain in his back side 12 for the past few months asking a bunch of questions, 13 14 he's always been a professional and gotten back to me very promptly with answers to my questions. 15 So, thank 16 you, Dave. What I did is I just made a blowup kind of 17 the area that I'm proposing so that, because I think as some of the objectors get up and some of the things that 18 I talk about as well, I think it would be a handy 19 reference point to kind of point out some things. 20 MR. FUGATE: Thank you. 21 If you want to 22 say I can put it back to members of the public. MR. TROIANO: We'll let it be. 23 I'm just 24 going to give a brief overview. Madam Chair, I know

there are a few objectors. It's my understanding that I can ask questions of objectors through you as they come up.

CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: If you had questions, you can present them to a limited extent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I'll try to keep MR. TROIANO: everything towards the end as best I can. So in the beginning part of my process of looking at aquaculture, an oyster farm in the upper bay, one of the first things I did was to read the Shellfish Management Plan of the State, which it's 300 something odd pages, and through that plan what I came away with as a main theme were accommodation and cooperation between user groups, as well as the use of data and research to kind of guide the Council and the State and how to move forward with shellfish management planning, and so during the course of my application that's what I tried to do. contacted as many user groups as I thought would potentially be effected by this, that included Save the Bay, included all the Town officials, the Harbor Commission, the Harbor Control, the Town Planner and It included the Dave was, obviously, at those meetings. groups, like kite surfers that we talked about earlier, kayakers, fishermen, members of academia, basically

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

people that I thought that would have input or would be effected by my application, and that group did include I spoke with two shellfishermen. shellfishermen. that process kind of brought me from my original application, which included an area here off of Smith Cove, which is to the east of where my application is now, I submitted a preliminary determination application for that area for an acre, and after speaking with Dave and after speaking with two shellfishermen from the East Bay area, when I brought it up, I said, you know, I have been in there a lot, I've never seen anyone commercially shellfish, and there was some recreational shellfishing Those two individuals both informed me that, in there. yes, it was an area that they used, it was an area that divers went into and it was an area that was used a lot during winter months. Their suggestion to me was that if I wanted to do a farm in the upper bay area, that the one area that they would suggest was the area that I currently proposed, and a quote from one of the individuals was, listen, it's a sandy area, there's never been anything there, I don't think you'll have an issue there, and so I began to investigate that particular area, which included going out with my own bull rake and sampling for about three hours in that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

general area to see what the densities were, and then I began speaking with Dave about it. So that's kind of how I came to this original area here. There were a variety of factors that led me to this precise kind of half acre which I reduced from one acre to try and avoid conflicts with the shellfishermen. Obviously, I wasn't entirely successful, but I tried. And it's in shallow water, you know, an area that I'm very familiar with, just being a resident in Town, having boated there for 20 years, being out there with my kids, spending a lot of time, both on the water and along this beachfront, which is used by residents allowed to walk their dogs. The area that I picked and the reason why it's here is it's kind away from most of the residences, it's in front of a grassy area by the country club, most of the residences are here. I think the closest residence that I measured is about a thousand feet away from the actual site, and it's also adjacent to Nayat Point, which is all rocks that extend out, because of the rockiness there there's damage and leftover piers that extend out from former residences into the water here, it's not an area that there is a lot of boaters in because they know it is shallow water, they know it is rocky water. Thev try to generally stay away from the boaters.

see the blue here will come out to deeper water. So, that's how I arrived at that particular site, and I'll leave it at that for now. And I do have additional material that I appended to my original application that I think might be appropriate after objectors have been heard that can perhaps be used as rebuttal evidence to potential objection. So, I would like to reserve at the end of my time to be able to present that to the Council.

CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Absolutely.

MR. FUGATE: I was just going to ask, he went through a PD for the second site, I assume he went through a PD for the second site?

MR. BEUTEL: He did.

MR. FUGATE: Did he have objections after the second PD meeting?

MR. BEUTEL: Not recommendations. One of the observations of the PD meeting was expect objections from neighbors.

about the original site, you were looking at one acre and then when you moved it to now the proposed site it's taken down to one-half acre, is that because you were cognizant of the other area, you know, close by, that

they are used in the good quahogging that's close by? I mean, why the reduction?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. TROIANO: Because I'm cognizant of what the gentlemen behind me do for a living. to them at previous meetings, at the marine fisheries meet and the SAP meeting, my intent is not to infringe on their ability to make a living here, and so I tried to find an area that had a minimum amount of conflict with actual shellfish density, and a minimum area that I thought as a sole proprietor, which is my intent to run this with maybe summertime help from my indentured servant sons, that I could, you know, make a decent amount off of a small area that wouldn't unnecessarily infringe upon the more productive areas that we've spoken about, where these gentlemen are living and working every day, so a half acre is what I thought I come up with, where I thought I could get enough equipment in that area that could be productive enough for me while at the same time not unnecessarily infringe upon the other shellfishermen.

CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Thank you.

MR. FUGATE: And if the Council were to limit you to that half acre and no further expansion, are you willing to live with that?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. TROIANO: I am, sir. One question I would have, I am certainly willing to stipulate to the half acre, my one question to the Council and to Dave is with that stipulation, if I would be able to add, I currently have six lines that extend from, they're very long lines, it's narrow, long, 80 feet wide by approximately 250 feet long, so there are six lines currently with approximately 10 to 12 feet in between the lines. If I were to stipulate to the half acre, what I might like to do would be to add an additional row of lines using the same equipment in between those existing six. So that would give me a total of 11. Ιt would not expand the area in any way, it would not change the equipment in any way. I would just able to get additional cages into that one-half acre. CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: So then that would be a different application? MR. BEUTEL: That would be a modification of assent. CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: And you're talking about in the future, not tonight? The reason why I'm asking, MR. TROIANO: Madam Chair, in a previous meeting I know it was a somewhat unusual procedural modification that was done,

but it was a similar circumstance in which one who has another farm in the upper bay had made a request, and I think that the Council allowed for a slight modification to his original request, so that he would not have to come back before the Council and unduly occupy more time. If that was a policy, in my case since I'm being heard now and it is in a substantial modification to the area, I thought I would raise the issue now rather than at some point down the road if I decide I want those additional cages to kind of come through this process again.

CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Okay. But you're not seeking to modify your application right now, just clarifying that?

MR. TROIANO: Correct, yes.

CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Okay.

MR. HUDNER: Okay.

CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Okay. Good. I heard you on that. Any other questions from Council members for this applicant?

MR. LONGO: Can I just have him come up here and identify this for the record. You referred to an exhibit. Would you agree with me that this is a fair and accurate representation of what you just referenced?

1	MR. TROIANO: I would. There is a more
2	accurate representation
3	MR. LONGO: No, no. When you said Smith
4	Cove, that's identified on this exhibit?
5	MR. TROIANO: Yes, sir.
6	MR. LONGO: My math is right there?
7	MR. TROIANO: Yes.
8	MR. LONGO: And you are proposing the
9	lease there?
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.
11	MR. LONGO: And you are moving this as
12	an exhibit?
13	MR. TROIANO: I am.
14	CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: So if you're
15	complete for now you can come back up afterwards. Would
16	you mind leaving the map so the objectors can use that.
17	Thank you. All right. We're open to hear from the
18	objectors, if the first one would like to approach,
19	we'll hear you now.
20	MR. LONGO: Why don't the first three of
21	you come up and we'll take turns speaking. So each of
22	you raise your right hand. Just the first three that
23	are going to testify.
24	Do each of you individually swear or affirm that

```
1
        the testimony you give tonight will be the truth, the
 2
        whole truth and nothing but the truth?
 3
                        MR. MCGIVENEY:
                                         I do.
 4
                        MR. GHIGLIOTTY:
                                          I do.
 5
                        MR. HARVEY:
                                      I do.
 6
                        MR. LONGO: Could each of you state your
        name in the order you're going to testify.
 7
 8
                        MR. MCGIVENEY:
                                         Michael Allen McGiveney,
 9
                            I'm president of the Rhode Island
        M-C-G-I-V-E-N-E-Y.
10
        Shellfishermen's Association.
11
                        MR. LONGO:
                                    Second person.
12
                        MR. GHIGLIOTTY:
                                          David Ghigliotty,
13
       G-H-I-G-L-I-O-T-T-Y.
                              I'm the current vice president of
        the Rhode Island Shellfishermen's Association.
14
15
                        MR. HARVEY:
                                     John Harvey, H-A-R-V-E-Y,
16
       member of the board of the Shellfishermen's Association.
17
                        MR. MCGIVENEY:
                                        Michael McGiveney.
18
       have been the president of RISA for some 20 something
19
       years now, but my group has been involved from the very
20
       beginning with the aquaculture debate in the mid-'90's
       when the legislation was put forward in the State House,
21
22
       we worked with the State, I worked with Grover way back
23
       then to help to integrate the aquaculture industry into
24
       the State, and over the years we've done a lot of
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

working together to help grow the industry where now there's over 70 leases that are in the bay, and of those 70 plus leases my organization has evaluated and not objected to a vast majority of these leases. objected to and then we worked with the lease applicant to modify and move it, and we've had a very good track record. I think this is only the second time I've been here in all these years in opposition to an aquaculture We also worked with CRMC back, as Dave mentioned, in the year 2000, almost 20 years ago, and we identified areas of the bay that were suitable for aguaculture. The gentleman Watson that was here is in the Rome Point area. That was an area that we identified as an area for aquaculture growth, and it has been a very productive and successful area.

Unfortunately, this lease is located in area A, as Dave mentioned, which is really critical to the shellfish industry. This in the area that the majority of the shellfish come from, and when it's open, because it is a closed area, it's where most of the shellfish can go to work. Historically, this area has been productive. I started going there in the early '80's when it opened up and literally there are hundreds of shellfishermen that were there, literally, boat-to-boat,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

and it's been productive throughout the entire 10 -- in the 40 years I've been digging this area, I always go to, in the winter or when it is open, and most of the fishermen, if it's a northerly wind you want to go up to So, this area historically has reset. that area. digging clams that have just been produced. Thirty years ago, it was productive then and we're concerned that the area that's being evaluated now, even though it might have a low density, it is in the critical mass of the area that we need. You might not understand how we work, but most of us drift through the bottom. density, although it doesn't sound that good really, I'll move 100 feet to catch a rake full of 80 or 90, which is like worth \$25 now. So low density in a productive area is okay because you drift through these So we really need to protect these areas for the fishermen. The idea that this area is a magnet for the shellfishing has been proven throughout the development of aquaculture, you get one, you get two, you get three, and really that's our concern on top of the concern, is that if this lease is approved there will be more leases and there will be more little spots and we'll lose more critical grounds to our industry.

My group has over 100 members and the industry

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

supports hundreds and hundreds of shellfishermen in the State, full-time. This is our full-time job. This is not a part-time thing. This is not something to keep the kids busy. This is what we do. So, we believe that this area needs to be protected. We're hard to hear that there is going to be a development of our SAMP plan, strategic area management plan for Narragansett So, we had a meeting in March starting to looking Bay. to set these areas aside and make them so they can't be leased ground because of the importance of the industry. So, we need to protect these areas, these are critical to my industry, and as much as it's only a half acre, we're really concerned, just the whole idea of how you shellfish, how you move, how you need different areas, how the population shifts and changes, that this is important to us. So any questions, I will take them, but thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: So we go per speaker or you want to do all three?

MR. LONGO: Up to you.

CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Okay. Maybe you can all speak and then we'll ask questions. Thank you. Mr. Ghigliotty.

MR. GHIGLIOTTY: I am David Ghigliotty.

Yes, okay. So, what Mike said, I am going to elaborate a little bit more on a few points.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The folks out on the bay are really concerned about the expansion possibility if this is coming in. This is setting a precedent, it really is. And that area that we're talking about, although Dave went over and he didn't find that many, to Mike's point, we will drift through an area like that because we drift as we We anchor up and we cover a lot of ground. gets windier through the seasons, we hug the shorelines closer and closer, and that area that he's talking about at high tide is probably around 11-and-a-half to 15 feet deep, which is a very workable bottom for us. anchor there and then drift out. But, all of that aside, the expansion is what scares us the most. area, Barrington Beach, is one of the most productive areas in the entire bay, not just A, the entire bay, and you can go out there at any point in time when A is open and you will see no less than between 50 to 70 fishermen on a bad day. In the summertime it's frequented quite a bit more. So, this area that we're trying to protect, we're also trying to make sure that future generation of fishermen, and we do have young guys coming into this that are not going to be stifled when these aquaculture

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

sites start to pop up inside the bay. There is a large bay that is more than amenable to other sites, that have sites on them that are nonconflicting to us, that there is lots of them, and I have been on the SAP committee now for, I'm going to say better than two years, probably going on three, and I will say that during the SAP meetings what I find is that these leases actually come back because they need to expand their territory, they need to get bigger. A half acre lease is extremely small, and as it's not going to be his livelihood on top Why there? of it, my question is, why there? someplace else that is more amenable to all parties concerned if it's going to be a part-time venture? Because we do this full time, so we really have to think about protecting the grounds, not to mention we look at it, too, from a standpoint of recreational. There are a lot of recreationalists that go out during the summer and they poke along those shorelines, and I think when you take a half acre away from them, that's pretty substantial because a lot of times these folks can't get to these areas easily. Rights-of-way to the water are continually blocked off, so it's harder for them to get. So half an acre is a pretty substantial piece of property for those folks that are going to go out and

they are going to grab a few for dinner, which is a Rhode Islander's right, I take it. So those things we all kind of worry about as well. But, it is that precedent that really is big.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

And one last thing. One last thing. As we're somewhat migratory, what we do, I'm also on the team for sampling for the State, so I go to these different sites and I sample, and we have sampled against the dredge, and the dredge was brought up, and I'll just bring that up for one minute, the dredge's efficiency, especially in past years, is not better than 60 percent, 65 percent on a good day. So those dredge surveys, especially the ones from past years, I wouldn't even credit those with any validity at all, not any at all, because 60 percent is terrible. When a bullrake's efficiency is at 98 percent, everyone that's on that team is at 98 percent efficiency rating when we have to get dialed in and we have to make sure that, you know, when we send this in, that they can say, okay, David is at 98 percent, this is what we have for this amount of area So the dredge surveys, but the that's covered. shorelines are starting to set up again. We're starting to see undersize in the shorelines all around the State. It's wonderful to see, to be honest It's incredible.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

with you, we are starting to see seed all throughout where the cobble, from where the shoreline comes down there is like a cobble between that and the sediment in the bottom and there's loads of undersize. absolutely wonderful to see the bay setting up this way, and that is an area that is in that, right past the cobble, right past there. That's an area that's potentially going to set up, but over the past years there have been steamer sets there, there have been a lot of folks that dove on steamers and things of that So, it's something that we are really very, very, very concerned about, not just the site itself, but it's the precedence, that what happens that we may end up be here again for somebody else, and as it spreads and spreads and spreads. Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Thank you. I think Mr. Fugate has a question.

MR. FUGATE: Mike, you often work with applicants to find sites that are suitable that you guys can live with essentially, it might be suitable for aquaculture. Was there an attempt made on this site to relocate it somewhere else that might have been, that this is?

MR. MCGIVENEY: No, it wasn't, not with

my group, and not with the SAMP plan -- I mean the SAP.

MR. GHIGLIOTTY: One last thing. We weren't contacted by this gentleman directly, to my knowledge.

2.2

MR. McGIVENEY: He sent an email about the meeting he was having and he wasn't going to attend the SAP meeting, which I mentioned there was a SAP meeting and if he wanted to discuss it afterwards.

MR. FUGATE: So there is a site nearby here that would be suitable or is it?

MR. McGIVENEY: Well, I think he likes it because it's near his house, but for us down bay, I don't know who he talked to, and I have heard different interpretations of why he was directed the other way, but down bay is where we're asking, like Hog Island, we've had several successful aquaculture sites down that way, farther there down the bay, you know, not up in, that whole area A is really, that's ground zero for us, this is what we make our living at, and currently it's, according to the last thing, it's 150 full-time shellfishermen in the State. That's the beginning. Farther down bay, and I mentioned Hog Island, down Portsmouth there is another one, the point there, and, you know, we, actually, the Hog Island one, we worked

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

with that quy, and the first site he chose was in a sailing area, I believe, so we were amenable to working with these people, as long as it doesn't impact ours. I should have mentioned we worked on the five percent rule, my organization, for the pond and that turned out to be a really a wonderful great regulatory initiative that was agreed on by both the aquaculturists and the shellfishermen, so we've been working on this for a long time, and this particular one just doesn't fit, you know, the mold that we're hoping to get to. CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Can I ask you, the boundaries that have conditional area A, if you look at this Google map in front of you, is it the red dots and I'm just trying then the one-half acre in the middle? to get. Page five of your packet. MR. BEUTEL: MR. GHIGLIOTTY: This would all be the conditional area. CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: That has got the

lines around it. Is that the thinking?

This whole area. MR. GHIGLIOTTY:

MR. McGIVENEY: It is Rocky Point all

the way over to the other side.

This whole area all the MR. GHIGLIOTTY:

way over.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. FUGATE: Okay.

asking questions, you stated that your biggest concern is the precedent setting, the expansion, and I understand that, and that's why we were talking about limitation on the one-half acre, whether or not they would be amenable to that, but I also heard you saying that you actually used that one-half acre for your operations, is that?

MR. GHIGLIOTTY: Yes. Typically, like I said, when it gets windy, typically, and this time of the year when it gets windy out of the north/northeast and even northwest, that's a nice area to go up inside. So, what we'll do a lot of times, we will set that anchor, especially at high tide because we can get in closer, and then what happens is that bank comes down and then we'll work that bank coming down, and usually when it goes from sandy, there is a transition line there, just a little ways off, that's where the quahogs live, is in the transition line, so we will use that, and we may grab a few up in that harder part of it and then we use a transition line and then go into the softer bottom. There's quite a few guys that work

really close up inside on a regular basis. 1 CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: 2 When you say really close up inside, up inside? 3 MR. GHIGLIOTTY: Up inside where we're 4 5 talking about. When it's those CHAIRMAN COIA: 6 7 conditions. Okay. MR. GHIGLIOTTY: More so for me when 8 it's those conditions. There are some folks that 9 actually work it in all conditions. They might work a 10 little bit to the east or the west, but they'll drift 11 through there. 12 CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Mr. Harvey, did 13 14 you want to say something? Yes, a couple of points. 15 MR. HARVEY: One of them is on shellfish surveys, which are useful, 16 17 but they can be misleading in that. Imagine an area that starts with 100 quahogs per square meter. 18 find out about it and they come and take them all. 19 There's nothing there. Zero quahogs 20 you do a survey. per square meter. So, it's misleading, in that you 21 can't predict the future and you can't tell the past 22 23 from a survey. But the big -- and, you know, on that, I agree that where the lease is is not an area of super

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

high productivity, and that's why I'm slightly puzzled that anyone would want to do a commercial oyster growing operation there, because they're going to grow half as fast as they would anywhere else. The other lease in the upper bay, it's not productive. I know this is probably not a good objection to, but it's puzzling everybody, what's the reason for wanting to grow oysters in a place where it's not as good as it would be down at Rome Point or any other place that has been recommended as a place that doesn't conflict with the fisheries that exist. You know, recreational people who walk the shore, they don't care whether the stuff grows slow there, they don't care whether there's .25 quahogs per They want to catch one here and one there square meter. This is their area. and make a chowder. This is the common ground here. But, here is the big objection, and it's a whopper, you know, I watched one of the first aquaculture farms down in Salt Pond, besides one of your islands, I won't even mention the name of it, but after that place was started, it had, before it was started, the banks surrounding the hole that it was located on were probably the most productive grounds in all of Salt They always said, every year, everyone that was, you know, recreational, commercial, they all fish around

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that pond. They put that farm there, it started throwing off debris on all sides of the farm. It smothered the bottom. That ground has been permanently ruined, ruined for the commercial and recreational fishery that used to be there for quahogs.

Now, this site that's being proposed here off Barrington Beach, it poses the same problem because it's at the top of a bank, which, as Mike and Dave mentioned, it's one of the most productive in the bay. get down in the bank, when you start getting down into 10 feet, 15 feet, that's where the debris from this farm would be trapped, because of frack of geometry and hydrodynamics, that's where it would end up, smothering potentially those incredibly historically valuable So, why not grow your oysters someplace where they grow faster and not threaten the public commons in the upper bay. It's just morally wrong to do that. So when you're thinking about approving this, or, you know, keep that in mind. That's my peace.

CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Thank you,
Mr. Harvey. Are there other questions for Mr. Harvey or
any of the other two gentlemen? Thank you. I think
we'll hear from some other objectors.

MR. LONGO: The next three people that

would like to speak on this application, come on up. 1 2 Could you raise your right hand, please. Do each 3 of you individually swear or affirm the testimony you are going to give tonight will be the truth, the whole 4 5 truth and nothing but the truth? 6 MR. MCELROY: Yes. 7 MR. CHRISTENSEN: Yes. 8 MR. BLANK: Yes. 9 MR. LONGO: Could you state your name in 10 the order you're going to speak. 11 MR. MCELROY: Michael J. McElroy, 12 M-C-E-L-R-O-Y. 13 MR. CHRISTENSEN: Bo Christensen, B-O 14 C-H-R-I-S-T-E-N-S-E-N. 15 MR. BLANK: I have got to warn you I am 16 about 75 deaf. I'm sorry, I do have hearing aids. 17 are coming, but they are not here. Bill Blank. 18 brother and I have been before this panel at least five 19 different times. I am a professional harvester. only do I do the wild fishery, but I am also half owner 20 21 at Rome Point, LLC, an aquaculture business. We lease 18-and-a-half acres in Narragansett Bay. I do know what 22 23 I'm talking about. I have been commercial fishing since 24 That's 37 years non-stop. Like I said, I'm half

owner of an aquaculture business that's still going strong today. So I have a few things that I would like to say, so if you want to want me to go first, okay.

Can I come a little closer in case somebody wants to ask me some questions.

First of all, I personally have dove, because I am a diver. Just until last year was on the Shellfish Advisory Panel for the state. I just recently resigned for my own reasons, okay. I have been right there for steamers, not for hardshell clams, but for steamers. When the triangle, Conimicut triangle opened up, it was a slugfest, right. Hundreds of people came out of the woodwork. What a few of us did, we went over to Nayat, we found steamers there, and maybe a dozen of us had it all to ourselves and we made a killing. All right. Steamers do set up there. They don't do it every year, and even if they did, mother nature takes care of it.

CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: When you say there, you're talking about the proposed lease area?

MR. BLANK: The Nayat Point, yes. Oka

The issues that I have is not the aquaculture, it's not the wild fishery, it's the site and the way he wants to run it. One-and-a-half inch PVC pipe will not stand up to mother nature. I don't care if you put half-inch

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

steel cable there, all right, it's going to get It may take a day, it may take a year, it destroyed. may take five years. We have a two-acre site just outside of Wickford, okay. This is one of our sites. We've had 600-pound cages on the opposite side of the breakwall because of mother nature. One-half inch PVC pipe floating, oysters, they get heavier as they grow. This is far off the bottom. It's not going to work. They are going to snap into pieces. Trust me on this, I know what I am talking about. All that debris, if it even makes it to the beach, will build sharp stuff sticking out of the sand for all the kids walking on the I just have a bad feeling this is going to be a public nightmare for the aquaculture business. Honestly, I've got nothing against the person, and I don't even know him, never had an issue. I just have an issue with the site. I can honestly think he has to rethink where he wants to put the site in a more sheltered location that is shelter and historically not a commercially viable shellfish area. Okay. Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Thank you very much for your comments. Next.

I'm with them with the

MR. CHRISTENSEN:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

diving, the steamers thing, we row there and there was quite few steamers and like the steamers thing sometimes it's red hot and really fills in. We've had tremendous And then it takes 10 years, five years, whatever, sometimes there's long spaces in between, and that's with most productive grounds is like that. can be in an area that's great for years and all of a sudden it just peters out and then it takes years for it to come back. Sometimes it comes back. The quahogs, it comes back, a lot of times it will come back yearly, but even then some areas take a long time to come back. the steamers, it seems like it was longer. I don't know what the right cycle is for that, and so that's one of the objections that I would have with that. other thing is, for recreational fishing or commercial fishing in that area for finfish, trolling along the beach is going to be a big obstruction. It will make a big obstruction for trolling. And, I know that will be, so I'm a commercial bass fisherman, and so that's one of the areas that I've fished many times for stripers and that's going to be another thing along with all of the docks that seem to be popping up all over the bay, so this is just I think those, the areas where it transitions where you try to fish, fishing shall be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

fishing along an edge and up on the bank or you work your way up and down the bank, you will see where the fish are, and a lot of times this is done late at night, so it's nice not to have all these obstructions, so I think that's one of the concerns that I would have.

CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Thank you.

MR. MCELROY: He took all my wind.

CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: You are Mr.?

MR. MCELROY: Mike McElroy. I'm 70 years old. I have had my own boat since I was 12 years old, commercially, recreationally, and if there is another way, I did that, too. I wasn't coming on so much, because I knew Mike, Dave, they've researched what they have to do. But, Dave hit a point when he said that there's guys to go up on the bank. I'm one of the very few tongers left in Narragansett Bay, to the point that I cannot get a set of tongs. I can go to the Cape with Rib Company but they don't make a quality set of The only other place I can find the heads are a place in Philadelphia, 12-foot heads, \$360, not shipped. Nobody in Rhode Island makes the handles anymore. boat is out of the water right now because I'm waiting to have two sets of handles. I'm losing money. not with all that ice, that's not true, but that's not

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

what -- that bothers me. But I dig, I have a set of 10-foot tongs. That means I dig five feet of water, okay, and on a given day, not every day I don't, by no means do I say, my tenants come over and I can dig in five feet of water, but at mean low tide, where that three feet is, I'm inside his nets, and what concerns me aside from, I've always loved nature, and if you get a chance, Council, read the history books that have been written about the battles between the oystermen and the quahoggers and the leased farms, what they've done right across from where he wants to put it, where the quahoggers had to go in at night because there was no other place to dig, but all the leases took the land So, if you've got nothing to do and you don't want to hear it at a Council meeting, there is at least three books written by local people which tells you what can happen when an area is taken over and protected by a That's one thing. lease.

Number two, as a recreational person that would thoroughly enjoy going out, I got out to Arcadia, I go mostly in the winter, watch the snow, you may think I'm crazy, that's my serenity, that's my church, my temple, whatever you want to call it, it's that and the boat.

When I lost my wife, when I lost my partner of 18 years

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that I fished with, when I lost my mother I went out there and that was my serenity, and to go out at night and to see these stars, you cannot replace that. can tell you right now where that place is there's people that come down the right-of-way onto the golf course and I see them walk from Barrington Beach right by his house or the plate application to the rocks at Rumstick with their dogs. I can't see them at nighttime but they're there, but they're there during the day, and they, when they choose to swim, they don't run back to the beach to swim, they swim right where he is, and they swim all the way out. And as a group of fly fishermen, recreational fly fishermen, that some come around, down the right-of-way and some come right across, all the way to those rocks, fish for fish and then come all the way back. That's during the daytime. They really should wait until it's dark because of the shallow water.

The other thing is, I don't know if the applicant knows, but right inside of him and to the east of him are two nurseries or estuaries for the breeding mummies, shrimp. I can take you in May, and I can show you a way in five minutes that you didn't think that much bait was in the bay at a given time. Too many people just walk over it. You have to take the time. And, I'm telling

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

you, I don't know what effect the oysters would have on these mummies and shrimp, but they need protection, and when they go up in there, they've got protection, and as the tide comes out, aha, who's waiting for them, the So now we have a finfish that Bo talked about coming in to get this bait, but that's nature. with his being sunken, my concern is, one, people could get caught on it, dogs could get caught on it, because, three, I talked to Steve Medeiros, I called him, and they okayed it because he looked on the chart ad he said, Mike, there's no fish there, because it's three feet mean water level. Well, let's see what the tides bring this Thursday, if the wind blows northwest, you're going to see one foot, and then you might even see them sticking out if they were there. Then what do They also are going to catch everything that you do? gets blown up on the bottom, and it's going to, you know, if he's going to pull him and clean it, but it's going to build up, and I am not going to be here You guys aren't going to be here forever. But, it's going to be a bitch if you kids want to enjoy the water like I have, or, God, if they want to make a living, because we're running out of space everywhere, and I'm telling you, those people that walk up and down,

that might be the only piece they get in a week or a 1 2 We don't know what goes on in their lives. 3 I know when they are doing that, I watch them, because 4 they are happy. Can you put a price on being happy? Anybody? 5 I'm asking you? 6 CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Well, thank you 7 for your comments. We understand your point. 8 MR. MCELROY: Well, yeah, if there is a thereafter and you look down in 100 years and it's all 9 10 screwed up, you can thank yourself. Thank you. 11 CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: All right. Ι think we'll take the next three. 12 Thank you. 13 MR. CHRISTENSEN: Thank you. 14 CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Are there any 15 additional objectors or are we at an end? 16 (NO RESPONSE) 17 MR. MCGIVENEY: They could raise their 18 hand and say do you a guys agree with what's been said. 19 If you want to raise your hand. They took the time to 20 be here. It's really difficult to get shellfishermen to 21 a meeting, especially late at night, so. 22 MR. LONGO: Why don't you stand up and 23 state your name and say you agree for the record. 24 MR. BASKET: Gregory Basket. I agree,

1	wholeheartedly.
2	MR. SILVA: Zach Silva, I agree.
3	MR. VIEIRA: Manuel Vieira, I agree.
4	MR. HERMANOWSKI: Greg Hermanowski,
5	H-E-R-M-A-N-O-W-S-K-I. I agree.
6	MR. LONGO: Anybody else? And each of
7	you that just spoke your name, could you raise your
8	right hand. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
9	you just gave was the whole truth and nothing but the
10	truth so help you God?
11	MR. BASKET: I do.
12	MR. SILVA: I do.
13	MR. VIEIRA: I do.
14	MR. HERMANOWSKI: I do.
15	CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Can I ask you a
16	question of all of them. Are you fishermen in this area
17	just like the other fishermen?
18	MR. BASKET: Yes.
19	MR. SILVA: Yes.
20	MR. VIEIRA: Yes.
21	MR. HERMANOWSKI: Yes.
22	CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: And so you agree
23	based on your personal knowledge and experiences?
24	MR. BASKET: Yes.

1	MR. SILVA: Yes.
2	MR. VIEIRA: Yes.
3	MR. HERMANOWSKI: Yes.
4	CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Thank you.
5	If we're done with the objectors, would the
6	applicant like to come back and present anything or
7	respond to what the objectors had to say? It's your
8	choice.
9	MR. TROIANO: If there are supporters,
10	can we hear from them.
11	CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Oh, supporters,
12	yes, yes.
13	MR. LONGO: Would you raise your right
14	hand, please. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth,
15	the whole truth and nothing but the truth so help you
16	God?
17	MR. GLASS: I do.
18	MR. LONGO: Identify yourself for the
19	record, please.
20	MR. GLASS: Joshua Glass. Good evening.
21	My name is Joshua Glass. I am a Barrington resident. I
22	have three young children. We often do spend our days
23	and evenings on the beach and on the water. This is an
24	area I'm very familiar with.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 I'll start on a global level, I think because as a 2 Rhode Island resident aquaculture in general and oyster aquaculture in particular is something that I view and support as a long-term benefit to the State. That's why I'm here this evening in part. I believe it's beneficial to the State ecosystem. I believe it is a sustainable source of seafood, both of which are extremely strong and positive and well-known assets in the State of Rhode Island. I think aquaculture in the upper bay is of particular importance as a Barrington I think it will help improve the water resident. quality and all the residents to enjoy the bay, not just those that live in the immediate area. Of course, there are the benefits of small business being further supported and that without expanding the overall benefit of the aquaculture to the Rhode Island industry, obviously this project, as small as they can be, is something that we can point to with a certain amount of pride and a certain amount of knowledge from which we can further learn. I think at the end of the day the size of this and the location of this, while it may be untenable to some, seems quite compatible to other user groups, as a said resident that frequently visits this area.

I think that something that hasn't been mentioned this evening but may or may not be in the materials is just as a pure occupant, a resident of the East Bay, I think it's something unique that I look forward to my children to being able to see and learn about. To many kids that might not live on the water and grow up on the water, something that they hear about in theory but something they don't actually see in practice, this is something they will able to see, and, obviously, the permission of the applicant and learn about, I think that's a really special opportunity that shouldn't be lost. I have nothing else at this late hour. I thank you for your time.

CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: I have a quick question. You mentioned you are a user?

MR. GLASS: Yes.

CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: And what is the nature of the use, you go down to the water, recreational, are you on the water, just?

MR. GLASS: All of the above. I don't kite board, kayak or surf, but boating, walking, walking with the dog before he passed away, walking with the children when they have too much energy. All of the above.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Thank you.

MR. MARRA: My name is Richard Marra.

MR. LONGO: Would you raise your right hand, please. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth so help you God?

MR. MARRA: I do. I'll be very brief. I understand it's late and you've heard a lot. and I live in Warwick here on Narragansett Bay. We have 200 feet of frontage on Narragansett Bay, so this is a subject that is kind of near and dear to both of us. There are a couple of things that I heard that I would like to talk about, take exception to. There is some personal disparagements made about the candidate/applicant's motives for wanting this application, a part-time venture, a lark, a frivolous opportunity to entertain his children. I take exception to that. Every person has a right to make an application before this commission to do what he or she feels is appropriate at whatever stage in their life they wish to. The candidate is a distinguished member of the Federal Law Enforcement Agency and he's retiring after 25 years of dangerous service, and this is a new career for him. It's not a lark. So he deserves the

opportunity to apply before this commission and be respected for that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

There were points made about precedent setting, and while that is certainly concerning for the gentleman behind who protested, this body should not be concerned because this body makes the precedent. So you are empowered to say whether there is a precedent to be set or not or to be broken or not. So if there is any concern, it is for you to discuss and decide. in general, this proposal is kind of like a win-win for Rhode Island. It's sustainable, it's echo friendly, it's miniscule. Narragansett Bay is 147 square miles. This is, like, 20,000 square feet. This has such little The area in question does not have any density requirement -- any density red flags for the quahog industry, and you can't look at data and say it's inaccurate one day and not accurate and accurate another The density factor is 2.4 percent, or whatever it is, and the standard is 274 for the bay. I mean, come on, that's a very, very, very minimal, minimal number. So, given all these factors, I fully support this application. Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Thank you for your comments. Anyone else, or would you like to come back

up?

1

24

MR. TROIANO: You know, Mr. Marra just, I think a personal part, and I'm not going to get into that, but, you know, throughout this process and the meetings that I have been to, I think I've expressed very clearly to the gentlemen behind me, despite what their opinion of me might be, that I have the utmost respect for what they do. My son, who is here tonight, has a student quahog license and I have taught him how to rake and how to use a bullrake. So you know I think it's okay to disagree, but I also think it's okay to try to come up with some middle ground, and I would certainly never disrespect any of these gentlemen behind me, and I've done my best to try and find what I thought would be an area in which I could make a reasonable -again, I didn't just quit what I'm doing and start a little side hobby. This is what I wanted to do. As the gentleman said before me, I believe, that being out on the water is what I enjoy, and now that my career necessitates coming to an end, because I have to retire, I want to do something again that I enjoy, just like I'm sure these gentlemen enjoy being out on the water and making their living that way, so I don't think the fact that I live in Barrington should be a reason that my

application is somehow less than someone else's or less than what they do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I know the value of hard My father was a farmer. work and I appreciate everything that they do every day, but I would like to address some of the more specific points that they brought up because I think they are If you look -- I know it's late, but if you relevant. briefly glance at some of those density surveys, you will see exactly what they are talking about. Some of the areas where they do fish regularly, year after year, you'll see those densities very high, and maybe one year they are down, the next year they come back and you'll see a consistent pattern. Here there's nothing. There's years there's zero, and whether your dredge efficiency is 67 percent or 90 percent, 90 percent of zero is still zero. There is no quahogs, okay. very, very minimal and that's why I went there. There's all sorts of reports out on the dredge efficiencies. It's not worth really going into it, but the gentleman is right, there are about 67 percent of fishing, but if you correlate that 67 percent across the area that's sampled where I am and the area that's sampled where they regularly fish, it shows the same pattern, where the majority of those clams are out here and not in this

small area that's 600 feet off of the shore in three-foot of water, and one of the gentleman says himself, that includes Mr. Harvey, this is not in an area of super high productivity. His concern was the debris. There's nothing that's going to be debris. I have hanging cages. I'm going to use stainless steel ties. I'm not going to use the plastic zip ties that are routinely cut off and thrown into the water but some irresponsible shellfish farmer. You can reuse a stainless steel tie and mitigate any of the debris that you're concerned about.

I think Mr. Blank talked about the equipment and not being able to hold out. I respect his opinion as a fellow aquaculturist, but I've looked at numerous studies, and I think perhaps, instead of going into all of them, the most poynant one is Dr. John Supine who is down at Louisiana State University. He is a professor. He is in charge of their aquaculture program and he talks about what they used, the longline system down there, and how when Hurricane Katrina blew through with 100-mile-an-hour plus winds and a 10-foot storm surge, they lost one pot out of thousands. So, I think that says enough about the value of the equipment and whether it can holdup, and, sure, maybe in five years I may need

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to replace things, it's called maintenance, any aquaculture farmer has to do that, and that's why you're out there, that's why you're looking at your equipment, that's why you're maintaining it. Let me tell you, these are my neighbors and my friends. I am not going to be the one I have to answer to them when my equipment washes up on the shore and their kids can't walk on the beach. I am more concerned about that than I'm sure anybody behind me is.

I think Mr. Marra You know, there's precedent. addressed it but I will address it again. This isn't precedent. There is a farm that's been in existence here in the upper bay. There's no precedent established And the magnet effect, I agree with these by this. gentlemen on a lot of what they're saying. I believe, I firmly believe that there does need to be a re-examination of the areas in the upper bay, and I think that there are areas, proven areas supported by density surveys that should be preserved for these gentlemen, but that's not relative to my application. My application is for an area of a half an acre, .05 percent of just this polygon here. That's just the area from Rumstick Point to Conimicut Point to Nayat over to the beach 1,056 acres. I am asking for one-half, .05

percent. I don't think that's unreasonable, and I don't think it's unreasonable for me to want to pursue that area in some place that is convenient to where I live. That's how you can make money. Getting in a boat and traveling 20 miles down the bay to try to make a living is not going to work. That's why I applied. Not because it's in my backyard and I want convenience. It has to be financially feasible, especially as a sole proprietor, and so that's why I searched these areas. I know that the hour is late, so I'm going to leave it at that and leave it open to answer any of your questions.

CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Yes, Mr. Fugate.

MR. FUGATE: So, it's been under discussion, you've already agreed, if the Council were to grant this at a half acre with the condition that you never ever expand it, and that's going to bind not only you but any transfer of that, are you still -- you're saying you're going to make a living out of this. You can't come back at a later point and say, now I want to make it an acre. That condition will bind you for the life of that lease, for the next 20 years at least, so.

MR. TROIANO: That's understood,
Mr. Fugate. And, frankly, you know, my only concern
with that, and I think it is a concern to the gentleman

1 behind me as well, if I'm bound to a half acre, then 2 what about the next individual that wants to come up? 3 MR. FUGATE: As they're indicating, 4 we're about to engage in a planning activity where we 5 are looking at consideration of taking areas out of --6 consideration by the Council at least for aquaculture 7 leasing, so that is one of the things that is under 8 discussion. So I think, you know, proactively that's 9 got to be the best way to deal with a lot of these 10 issues, but we need to get pretty specific in these 11 areas. 12 I understand where you are MR. TROIANO: 13 going, Mr. Fugate, with the half acre, and the idea is 14 for every individual that you hire, you have to account 15 and raise enough oysters to justify paying that person 16 whatever you're going to pay them, especially a 17 full-time employee where you're paying. My intention is to run this as sole proprietor type, and, therefore, 18 19 whatever I am able to make off of that half acre goes 20 into my pocket. 21 MR. FUGATE: I can't tell you how many 22 people come back asking for more. 23 MR. TROIANO: You won't see me again, 24 other than maybe those five additional lines that I

mentioned earlier.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: I have a question for you, just to answer one of the objector concerns about the current use going through your proposed lease area for the drifting, so while it may not be a productive area that they're fishing in or quahogging in, that, you know, they're still using that area to support their fishing operations. Would you like to address that?

MR. TROIANO: I don't, Madam Chair, I don't think I can effectively address that. I'll take the gentlemen at their word. I mean, in my experience, all the time that I've spend out there, I've never seen a boat in that shallow water area. further out in the little deeper water, which you can see over here, absolutely I have. And, again, that's one of the reasons why I came into the shallow water, to try to avoid and give a sufficient buffer to any area that would conflict with them. Can I quaranty that this won't effect one person, no. But, you know, I think that according to, you know, what I looked at and the substantive objections, I don't think there's any aquaculture site that is quaranteed not to effect As I read, as I read the staff, it calls for a anyone.

significant adverse impact by the proposed activities at 1 this, the significant adverse impact on shellfish, 2 finfish or wildlife habitat. The RISA, the Saltwater 3 Fisherman Association, did not object to that 4 5 application, as the gentleman said earlier. The impacts, if you were to determine that this is a 6 significant shellfish area, there would still have to be 7 a significant adverse impact on it. I don't see that 8 there would be. It might. Sure, could it interrupt 9 someone's drift, I guess, yes. 10 And can I 11 CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Okay. ask you, Mr. Beutel, this would be the first lease in 12 this conditional? 13 MR. BEUTEL: 14 No. CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: And there's one 15 other? 16 MR. BEUTEL: There's one on the western 17 side of the conditional area off the -- it's been there 18 since 2000. 19 Where is that again? MR. HUDNER: 20 This is the matter that you 21 MR. FUGATE: we heard the --2.2 CHAIR CERVENKA: Is this the applicant? 23 24 MR. BEUTEL: Yes.

	13
1	VICE CHAIRMAN COIA: Two questions.
2	One, as we sit here today, is this a permissible use of
3	this area?
4	MR. BEUTEL: As we sit here today, yes.
5	VICE CHAIRMAN COIA: Some concern has
6	been raised relative to some damage. I know one of the
7	stipulations was a \$10,000 bond. Has that been
8	discussed with the applicant?
9	MR. FUGATE: No.
10	VICE CHAIRMAN COIA: The \$10,000 bond?
11	MR. FUGATE: It is a standard
12	stipulation.
13	MR. BEUTEL: We do set the bond level,
14	so.
15	VICE CHAIRMAN COIA: May I ask the
16	application if he had an opportunity to review that?
17	MR. TROIANO: I did, sir.
18	VICE CHAIRMAN COIA: Any objection to
19	that?
20	MR. TROIANO: No objection.
21	CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Okay. Any
22	additional questions for the applicant before we close
23	the public comment period?
24	(NO RESPONSE)

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Hearing none, thank you, Mr. Troiano. We're going to deliberate now. I will entertain a motion on this application.

Mr. Hudner.

MR. HUDNER: I move that the application be approved with a very tight stipulation, no further extension of this lease whatsoever, and then whatever other stipulations in the mind of the staff. recall now, but just to say it, I understand the conflict on the bay in certain areas. I mean, the shellfish are embedded on the floor of the bay and you are going to need moving water for farming, oysters and mussels. I am on the bay myself in a recreational way and I see the conflict in certain places, so this is a serious issue, and we have to be mindful of -- I mean, this is like in the old west, you know, the guys with their cattle and the people with the cows, or sheep, if they needed fences, this is sort of like that, you're fencing off part of the waterfront, which is the source of a livelihood, so we have to be very careful about I don't see it as a big problem personally and the actual effect of this size of lease, but I understand all of the issues for the shellfishermen, and I think they have to be respected and defended, but I

still think that it's okay for us to approve this, so 1 I'll make the motion. 2 3 CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Just no further 4 extensions, so you mean area? MR. HUDNER: 5 The area, the footprint. 6 Not what goes on inside that I don't much care about. Ι wasn't talking about the possibility of extra lines, 7 which we're not deciding on now. 8 9 CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Okay. 10 MR. HUDNER: Just put on notice that it's considered a desirable feature, and I'm sure Mr. 11 12 Troy and I would take it if it was offered but it's not part of the application. 13 14 MR. SAHAGIAN: Madam Chair, Mr. Hudner, I'm willing to second the motion, but I think with all 15 16 the objectors here, that maybe you should add some 17 language, like pursuant to the staff's report and that the staff recommended approval based on the record, that 18 you will move approval, but it's up to you. 19 I agree with you. 20 MR. HUDNER: 21 MR. LONGO: I will help you with that. 22 CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: So you are seconding? 23 24 MR. SAHAGIAN: I will second it.

CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Okay. Discussion? Mr. Gagnon.

MR. GAGNON: Can I ask a question. If we approve this, could there be a moratorium or a hold on future consideration of applications in this conditional area A until the shellfish area management plan is finished and it's determined whether it is suitable for future aguaculture or not?

MR. FUGATE: Well, I think what the Council is going to have to do as part of the bay plan, and there have been already I guess some discussions on this, is to look at the productive shellfish areas and sort of map those out and take them off for consideration for aquaculture leases as a proactive step of dealing with these applications so we're not having these gentleman have to come out at night and fight these applications, so I think the really productive areas that are consistently productive we need to think about taking out of consideration, so.

MR. GAGNON: Does that happen?

MR. FUGATE: The plan is starting up in March, so we'll be starting a planning activity, so within the next two to three years, yes, we will be doing that. In the meantime, had this been in a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

productive shellfish area, it wouldn't have gotten a staff recommendation for approval. So, we don't allow shellfishing -- I mean, aquaculture in productive areas, but the easiest way to deal with it is to map these areas and take them off, so we're not having to fight this on a case-by-case basis.

CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Other comments on the pending motion of the application?

VICE CHAIRMAN COIA: Yes, I do, Madam Under discussion, to relieve some of the concerns of the objectors, I think it's important, and as Mr. Marra said, but I was going to say it otherwise myself, when we look at an application we're bound to look at that application on its four corners and not consider whether or not our decision will create precedent in the future, to a quote a gentleman, would be a magnet, who knows, maybe it will be, people will want to start coming before us, but you can't prohibit this gentleman prospectively thinking if he puts it there it's going to give rise to other applications. We are, as I said, duty bound to look at the evidence that's before us, not considering what we did last week, what we did an hour ago at a different application, or whatever, but on the application, what is all the

1 scientific evidence, what's the expert testimony, the 2 lay testimony, afford it all its due weight and based on 3 that come up with that decision. So, with that said, 4 applying all of the requisite standards and criteria, I 5 would support the application. Obviously, I give due 6 weight to the concerns of the gentleman, but I think Mr. Troiano has done his homework, and what I mean by 7 8 that is when he said he looked at the other area, spoke 9 with some of the commercial fishermen there, took into 10 the consideration some of the concerns and said, all 11 right, I respect that, and many times during his testimony tonight he said, I respect their right to earn 12 13 a living, I respect this gentleman. So, he went and 14 looked, well, where else can I put it, and when the 15 question of the Council, well, why did you go from a full acre to a half acre, I think was a concession that 16 17 the gentleman made, saying that I can live with that because it's less intrusive to all the people around 18 19 that are making their living on that site. 20 know, the question was posed, why there. Well, I think 21 he answered why there, because he doesn't want to hurt 22 them in the bigger areas. So, with that said, I would 23 support it. CHAIRMAN COIA: So I'd like to make a

> Irons & Associates Court Reporters (401)474-8441 stenorf@gmail.com

24

comment. I don't think I can support the motion, because I think the testimony of the objectors demonstrates that there's a potential for a significant adverse impact on this sensitive productive conditional area A, and I found the testimony to be compelling based on their personal knowledge and their experience, and it's not because this particular one half acre is so productive but it's almost inextricably linked to something that is so historically significant and productive for these commercial fishermen, so I won't be able to support the motion.

MR. GAGNON: I have to say I agree with that, also.

CHAIRWOMAN CERVENKA: Any further discussion?

(NO RESPONSE)

MR. LONGO: I'm going to restate the motion and then I will call a roll call. So the motion is to, having considered the staff reports and testimony, including the testimony, the disputed and conflicting testimony regarding the density and the shellfish in the area and the potential lease, the potential impact on conflicting uses of the area, Mr. Hudner is satisfied that the applicant has

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Mr. Sahaqian?

demonstrated the lease will not have an adverse impact on the continued variety of indigenous fisheries in the area, you're satisfied that the applicant has demonstrated that the lease will not result in significant impacts on the abundance and diversity of plant and animal life in the area and you're satisfied that the applicant has demonstrated that the lease will not result in significant other water-dependent uses, and for those reasons you move approval? MR. HUDNER: Yes. MR. LONGO: With the staff stipulations and the requirement that there will be no expansion of the physical footprint of the lease area? MR. HUDNER: Yes, that's correct. VICE CHAIRMAN COIA: But I think earlier he said he's relying on the expert testimony of staff and their findings to support that? MR. LONGO: Yes. VICE CHAIRMAN COIA: When questioned by Mr. Sahaqian. MR. LONGO: Yes. The record will reflect that there was the expert testimony of Mr. Beutel on that issue. Okay. So I'll call the role.

CERTIFICATE

I, Rebecca J. Forte, a Notary Public in and for the State of Rhode Island, hereby certify that the foregoing pages are a true and accurate record of my stenographic notes that were reduced to print through computer-aided transcription.

In witness whereof, I hereunto set my hand this 16th day of February, 2018.

Reversa Jate

REBECCA J. FORTE, NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission (RI) Expires on 7/15/21 My Commission (MA) Expires on 1/26/25